Safety and efficacy of turoctocog alfa for prophylaxis and treatment of bleeding episodes in patients with severe hemophilia A: results from the guardian[™]2 trial

E Santagostino;¹ D Janic;² FA Karim;³ I Matytsina;⁴ M Ozelo;⁵ A Savic;⁶ A Tiede;⁷ J Oldenburg⁸ ¹Angelo Bianchi Bonomi Hemophilia and Thrombosis Center, Maggiore Hospital Policlinico, Milan, Italy; ²University Children's Hospital, Belgrade, Serbia; ³National Blood Centre, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia; ⁴Novo Nordisk A/S, Søborg, Denmark; ⁵University of Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil; ⁶Faculty of Medicine, University of Novi Sad, Serbia; ⁷Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany; ⁸University Clinic Bonn AöR, Bonn, Germany

Objective

To report an analysis of new long-term safety and efficacy results (over 5 years for some patients) from the guardian[™]2 trial.

Introduction

- Turoctocog alfa, a B-domain truncated recombinant factor VIII (rFVIII) product, has been licensed for use by some countries for the prevention and treatment of bleeds in patients with hemophilia A.
- In the pivotal phase 3 trials, guardian[™]1 and guardian[™]3, turoctocog alfa provided well-tolerated and effective prophylaxis in previously treated adults or adolescents (aged 12–65 years), and children (aged <12 years).^{1,2}
- The multi-national guardian[™]2 trial, an extension to the guardian[™]1 and guardian[™]3 trials, was conducted at 52 sites in 19 countries. The main objectives of guardian[™]2 were to assess the long-term safety and efficacy of turoctocog alfa for the prevention and treatment of bleeds.
- Here we report the results from guardian[™]2 as assessed at the interim cut-off date on March 25, 2015.

Methods

Patients and trial design

- guardian[™]2 non-randomized, was prospective, open-label, multi-center, multi-national, single treatment arm, safety and efficacy extension trial.
- Previously treated male patients aged 6 months to 70 years, with severe hemophilia A (FVIII activity $\leq 1\%$) and no history of inhibitors, were eligible for inclusion in guardian[™]2 if they had completed guardian[™]1, guardian[™]3, one of the preceding pharmacokinetics trials, or the on-demand subtrial of guardian™2.
- Participants received turoctocog alfa prophylactically (20–50) IU/kg once every second day, or 20–60 IU/kg three times weekly), and on-demand (20–200 IU/kg/day [dose levels up to 200 IU/kg/day could be used depending on severity/location of the bleed]) for treatment of bleeds.



Conclusions

As of the interim cut-off date (March 25, 2015), no	• T
patient had developed factor VIII inhibitors and no	b
other clinically significant safety concerns had been	b
identified.	b

Endpoints

- The primary endpoint was FVIII inhibitor development (≥0.6 Bethesda units [BU]/mL).
- The efficacy endpoints were:
- Annualized bleeding rate (ABR) reported during the prevention period (only applicable for subjects in the preventive regimen).
- Hemostatic success when treating bleeds (assessed on a predefined four-point scale [excellent/good/moderate/none]).
- Number of turoctocog alfa infusions required to stop bleeds.
- Turoctocog alfa consumption.
- The safety endpoint was frequency of adverse events (AEs) and serious AEs.
- Descriptive statistics were used to evaluate all safety endpoints and efficacy during treatment of bleeds.

Results

- At the guardian^{M2} interim cut-off (March 25, 2015), 199 patients had been treated prophylactically with turoctocog alfa for a total of 589 patient-years.
- Total exposure to turoctocog alfa was 476.9 days per patient and a mean of 466.0 infusions per patient were used for prophylaxis.

Efficacy

- The ABRs for patients receiving prophylactic treatment are shown in Table 1.
- In total, 1508 bleeds in 162 (81.4%) patients on prophylaxis were treated with turoctocog alfa. The majority of bleeds were spontaneous (56.2%), and 43.6% were caused by trauma. The most frequent location of the bleeds was in a joint (74.9%).
- Treatment was successful ('excellent' or 'good') for 89.7% of bleeds, and was similar across all age groups.

CI, confidence interval; IQR, interquartile range.

Of the 1508 reported bleeds, most (89.9%) were resolved after one or two infusions of turoctocog alfa (74.4% and 15.5%, respectively).

Mean turoctocog alfa consumption for patients receiving prophylaxis was 5098 IU/kg/year/patient, with an average dose of 32.2 IU/kg.

The median annualized bleeding rate for spontaneous leeds in patients on prophylactic treatment was 0.52 pleeds/year. The overall success rate for treatment of leeds was 89.7%; 89.9% of bleeds were resolved with ≤ 2 infusions of turoctocog alfa.

■ New data from the guardian[™]2 trial demonstrate the long-term safety and efficacy of turoctocog alfa for the prevention and treatment of bleeds in patients of all ages with severe hemophilia A.

Table 1 Annualized bleeding rates (bleeds/patient/year) reported in guardian $\mathbb{M}2$.

	Children 0–<6 years	Children 6–<12 years	Adolescents 12–<18 years	Adults ≥18 years	Total
itients, n	27	28	23	121	199
bleeds, median (IQR)	1.08 (3.03)	1.57 (4.39)	1.48 (2.62)	1.49 (3.21)	1.48 (3.21)
bisson estimate, mean (95% CI)	1.95 (1.19–3.18)	3.09 (2.16–4.41)	2.05 (1.37–3.07)	2.69 (2.13–3.40)	2.56 (2.16–3.03)
oontaneous bleeds, median (IQR)	0 (1.03)	0 (0.78)	0.53 (2.33)	0.82 (2.65)	0.52 (2.11)
bisson estimate, mean (95% CI)	0.64 (0.30–1.38)	0.75 (0.34–1.65)	1.15 (0.70–1.89)	1.89 (1.47–2.44)	1.44 (1.15–1.80)
aumatic bleeds, median (IQR)	0.90 (2.42)	1.52 (2.95)	0.75 (0.99)	0.29 (0.86)	0.53 (1.49)
bisson estimate, mean (95% CI)	1.31 (0.84–2.03)	2.34 (1.68–3.25)	0.89 (0.58–1.35)	0.79 (0.60–1.06)	1.12 (0.92–1.35)
int bleeds, median (IQR)	0.28 (1.49)	0.83 (3.60)	1.40 (2.50)	0.87 (2.95)	0.82 (2.75)
bisson estimate, mean (95% CI)	0.89 (0.43–1.86)	2.15 (1.38–3.35)	1.6 (1.13–2.26)	2.21 (1.7–2.88)	1.93 (1.58–2.37)
on-joint bleeds, median (IQR)	0.60 (1.81)	0.55 (1.24)	0.00 (0.53)	0.00 (0.65)	0.28 (0.85)
bisson estimate, mean (95% CI)	1.06 (0.65–1.71)	0.92 (0.65–1.31)	0.45 (0.20–1.00)	0.47 (0.36–0.61)	0.62 (0.51–0.76)

Safety

No FVIII inhibitors were detected.

Overall, for patients on prophylaxis, a total of 1051 AEs were reported for 172 (86.4%) patients (1.8 events per patientyear of exposure).

The most frequently reported AEs were headache, nasopharyngitis, infection, respiratory tract upper pharyngitis, and fall.

Eight swelling, increased aspartate events (local aminotransferase, increased alanine aminotransferase, pain in extremity, musculoskeletal pain, arthropathy [two cases], and lichenoid keratosis) in five of 199 patients (2.5%) were judged to be possibly or probably related to turoctocog alfa; all were mild or moderate in severity and not serious.

References

Conflict of interest disclosure

ES received speaker fees from Kedrion, was a paid consultant to Baxalta, Baxter, Bayer, Biogen Idec, CSL Behring, Grifols, Novo Nordisk, Octapharma, Pfizer, Roche, and Sobi, and received a research grant from Pfizer. DJ received speaker fees from Baxter, Bayer, Novo Nordisk, Octapharma, and Pfizer. IM is a Novo Nordisk employee. MO received research support from Baxter, Bayer, CSL Behring, Biogen, and Novo Nordisk, and speaker fees from Baxter, Novo Nordisk, Biogen, and Pfizer. AT reports grants and fees for lectures/consultancy from Bayer, Baxter/Baxalta, Biogen Idec, Biotest, Boehringer Ingelheim, CSL Behring, Leo Pharma, Novo Nordisk, Octapharma, Pfizer, and Sobi. JO received reimbursement for attending symposia/congresses and/or honoraria for speaking and/or honoraria for consulting, and/or funds for research from Baxter, Bayer, Biogen Idec, Biotest, Chugai, CSL Behring, Grifols, Novo Nordisk, Octapharma, Sobi, Pfizer, and Roche. FAK and AS have no conflicts of interest.

This trial was sponsored by Novo Nordisk and is registered with ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT00984126). The authors acknowledge the medical writing assistance of Katherine Ayling-Rouse (PAREXEL). Presented at the World Federation of Hemophilia (WFH) World Congress, July 24–28, 2016, Orlando, FL, USA.

P-T-97

Thirty-six serious AEs were reported in patients on prophylaxis; all were judged by the investigator unlikely to be related to turoctocog alfa.

No thromboembolic events, hypersensitivity reactions, allergic events, or other safety concerns were reported.

1. Lentz SR, et al. Haemophilia 2013;19:691–697. 2. Kulkarni R, et al. Haemophilia 2013;19:698–705.











