Nardus grasslands and wet heaths are affected differently by reintroduction of management and pH recovery

Nardus grassland





Wet heath



Background

many, to evaluate the implementation of **mowing after mid-July**.

Semi-natural habitats in temperate re- a long-term management regime and gions are local hotspots of biodiver- the above-mentioned stressors. Prior sity, but threatened by multiple stres- to the first survey in 1986, the meadsors such as land-use change and at- ows had lain fallow for approximately mospheric deposition. We conducted **30 years.** Shortly afterwards, they a resurvey of Nardus grasslands and were entered into a contractual nawet heaths in the Eifel mountains, Ger-ture conservation program with **annual**

Methods – Resurvey

- •50 plots of *Nardus* grassland, 14 of wet heath, plot size 20m².
- First survey by LUDWIG (1987), repeated in 2018.
- Soil pH measurements in both years.
- Relocation via precisely drawn maps and differential GPS.

University

of Bremen



Fig. 1: Location of the study sites.



Results



Ardus grassland E Wet heaths

Fig. 2: Changes (Δ) in soil pH and mean unweighted Ellenberg indicator values for soil nutrients and moisture between 1986 and 2018. Stripes indicate a significant shift.

Soil pH increased significantly, from mean 3.9 in 1986 to mean 4.6 in 2018. It was lower than the threshold for aluminium toxicity (4.5, DE GRAAF et al. 2009) in only 22% of cases in 2018, compared to 98% in 1986. The mean unweighted indicator value for soil nutrients did not increase in *Nardus* grassland, but in wet heath.



¹ Vegetation Ecology and Conservation Biology, Institute of Ecology, FB 2, University of Bremen, Leobener Str. 5, 28359 Bremen, Germany.

² Bundesamt für Naturschutz, Konstantinstr. 110, 53179 Bonn, Germany.

³ Department of Biology, Earth and Environmental Sciences, Landscape Ecology Group, University of Oldenburg, 26111 Oldenburg, Germany.



Tab. 1: Significant changes (Δ) in number and cover sums of species from four species groups between 1986 and 2018.

	Nardus grassland		Wet heath	
species group	number	cover	number	cover
△ <i>Nardus</i> grassland specialists		_		
\triangle Wet heath specialists	_	_	_	_
\triangle Agricultural grassland species	+		+	+
Δ Small segde fen species	+	+	+	+

The *Nardus*-grasslands proved quite stable. All *Nardus* grasslands from 1986 were still typical Nardus grasslands in 2018, with a high total species number (mean 34.3 / 20m²) and proportion of character species (on average 40% of species). However, these decreased in cover (Tab. 1). Species of agricultural grasslands only increased in number, not in cover. Small sedge fen species increased in number and cover. Non-competitive species (e.g. *Pedicularis sylvatica*) were promoted.

Wet heaths basically disappeared. In 2018, their character species accounted for only 3% of species, with an average cover of 4%. Especially dwarf shrubs declined. The former wet heaths transformed into wet variants of *Nardus* grasslands, small sedge-dominated swards or wet meadows with signs of eutrophication (e.g. presence of Angelica sylvestris and Galium mollugo agg.)

References

Ludwig, G. (1987): Vegetationskundliche und standörtliche Untersuchungen der Borstgrasrasen (Nardetalia) im Kreis Euskirchen unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der Bryophyta. Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn. Diplomarbeit, unveröffentlicht: 98 pp. De Graaf, M.C.C., Bobbink, R., Smits, N.A.C., Van Diggelen, R., & Roelofs, J.G.M. (2009): Biodiversity, vegetation gradients and key biogeochemical processes in the heathland landscape. Biol. Conserv. 142: 2191-2201.







Fig. 3: DCA of all plots in 1986 and 2018, based on species presence/absence data.

Wet heaths (blue) have changed more between surveys than Nardus grasslands (yellow). They have become more similar to the Nardus grasslands, with which they overlapped in 2018 (dashed) but not in 1986 (solid).





