# BSH 2020 VIRTUAL

9 -14 NOVEMBER



Efficacy of daratumumab combination regimen in patients with multiple myeloma: a combined analysis of six phase III randomised controlled trials

T. W. Htut<sup>1</sup>,\*, K. Z. Thein<sup>2</sup>, A. Lawrie<sup>1</sup>, J. Tighe<sup>1</sup>, G. Preston<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Haematology, Aberdeen Royal Infirmary, Aberdeen, UK

<sup>2</sup>Investigational Cancer Therapeutics, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, USA



IV, Random, 95% CI

Favours [daratumumab] Favours [control]

Favours [daratumumab] Favours [control]

Favours [daratumumab] Favours [control]

**Hazard Ratio** IV, Random, 95% CI

**Hazard Ratio** 

IV, Random, 95% CI

0.31 [0.24, 0.40]

0.43 [0.35, 0.53]

0.78 [0.43, 1.41]

0.76 [0.53, 1.10]

0.38 [0.25, 0.58]

-0.7133 0.1573 43.0% 0.49 [0.36, 0.67]

-0.8916 0.2324 19.7% 0.41 [0.26, 0.65]

-0.4005 0.3313 31.6% 0.67 [0.35, 1.28]

SE Weight IV, Random, 95% CI

-0.5978 0.2925 25.1% 0.55 [0.31, 0.98]

(d) Forest plot for PFS in patients with NDMM with standard risk cytogenetics

-0.1625 0.336 30.8%

(e) Forest plot for PFS in patients with NDMM with high risk cytogenetics

## INTRODUCTION

- Multiple myeloma (MM) is a hematological malignancy of abnormal clonal plasma cell proliferation which accounts for 1% of all cancers.
- Over the last few years, introduction of novel agents has become a therapeutic landmark in the management of newly diagnosed MM (NDMM) and relapsed or refractory MM (RRMM), in both transplant – eligible and transplant – ineligible patients.
- Studies have shown that daratumumab, a human IgG kappa monoclonal antibody targeting CD38 on myeloma cells, monotherapy or in combination with proteasome inhibitors, immunomodulatory agents and/ or other antimyeloma therapies increased survival in the treatment of MM.

#### AIM

We conducted an updated meta-analysis of phase III randomised controlled trials (RCT) to determine the efficacy of daratumumab combination regimen in patients with NDMM and RRMM.

### **METHOD**

- We performed systematically a comprehensive literature search using MEDLINE, EMBASE databases and meeting abstracts up to 30th April 2020 using the keywords "multiple myeloma AND daratumumab," OR "plasma cell disorder AND daratumumab." The references of all potential studies were also reviewed for any additional relevant studies. We limited the search to "humans" and "randomised controlled trials." All studies written in English or non-English languages were obtained.
- The studies that were eligible to be included in the meta-analysis had to conform with the following characteristics: phase III RCTs utilizing daratumumab in patients with newly diagnosed/untreated multiple myeloma or relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma.
- The primary outcome of our meta-analysis was progression-free survival (PFS).
- The secondary outcome was the overall response rate (ORR), including stringent complete response (sCR), complete response (CR), and MRD negativity (molecular response).
- Six phase III RCTs (POLLUX, CASTOR, CANDOR, ALCYONE, CASSIOPEIA, and MAIA studies) involving 4025 patients (2094) participants in daratumumab group and 1931 cases in control group) were included in the final analysis.
- Studies compared daratumumab based combination regimens with antimyeloma regimens without daratumumab as shown in Table 1
- Daratumumab was utilized in relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma in the POLLUX, CASTOR, and CANDOR studies, and as first-line treatment for patients with multiple myeloma in the ALCYONE, CASSIOPEIA, and MAIA studies.
- The randomization ratio was 1:1 in all studies except 2:1 in the CANDOR trial.
- Mantel-Haenszel (MH) method was used to estimate the pooled hazard ratio (HR) for progression-free survival (PFS), and pooled risk ratio (RR), and risk difference (RD) with 95% confidence interval (CI) for ORR, CR, and sCR and MRD.
- All statistical analyses were performed using the Review Manager, version 5.3 (Nordic Cochrane Centre; Copenhagen, Denmark).
- Heterogeneity was assessed with I2 and Cochran's Q statistic.
- A "P-value" of <.05 was considered significant and I2 > 50% is considered substantially heterogeneous. An HR < 1.0 or RR < 1.0 was in favor of daratumumab.

#### **RESULTS**

• The I2 statistic showed some heterogeneity among RCTs and the random-effects model was applied to provide a more conservative result.

• The pooled HR for PFS was calculated for each subset; NDMM in Figure 1B (HR, 0.54; 95% CI: 0.46–0.63; P < .00001) and RRMM in Figure 1C

- The pooled HR for overall PFS was statistically significant at 0.46 (95% CI: 0.38–0.55; P < .00001) Figure 1A.
- (HR, 0.44; 95% CI: 0.30–0.64; P < .0001).
- Although the pooled HR for PFS was significant in standard-risk cytogenetic NDMM cohort in Figure 1D (HR, 0.43; 95% CI: 0.35–0.53; P < .00001), PFS was not statistically significant in high risk cytogenetic NDMM cohort in Figure 1E (HR, 0.76; 95% CI: 0.53–1.10; P = .15).
- A PFS benefit was observed in both standard-risk cytogenetic and high-risk cytogenetic cohorts in RRMM with the pooled HR of 0.38 (95% CI: 0.25–0.58; P < .00001) and the HR of 0.46 (95% CI: 0.31–0.67; P < .0001), respectively in Figure 1F,G.
- According to an analysis of two trials, which enrolled transplant-ineligible NDMM patients (ALCYONE and MAIA trials), the pooled HR for PFS was not significant at 0.81 (95% CI: 0.52–1.26; P = .35) in patients with NDMM who harbored high-risk cytogenetics.
- The benefit in ORR was observed in both NDMM and RRMM who have received a daratumumab-containing regimen.
- In NDMM, ORR was reported in 92.2% in daratumumab arm versus 82.8% in the control arm (RR, 1.13; 95% CI: 1.01–1.26; P = .03).
- In RRMM, ORR was 87% versus 71.3% in the control arm (RR, 1.22; 95% CI: 1.12–1.32; P < .00001).</li>
- In NDMM, the rate of CR and sCR was 17.9% higher in daratumumab combination regimens compared to the control group (RR, 1.71; 95% CI: 1.47–1.99; P < .00001), whereas the rate of CR and sCR was 22.5% higher in daratumumab arm in the RRMM subgroup (RR, 2.57; 95% CI: 2.12–3.12; P < .00001).
- Higher MRD 10-5 negativity was also observed in both NDMM and RRMM. In NDMM, molecular remission was reported in 38.8% in the daratumumab arm versus 22% in the control arm (RR, 2.49; 95% CI: 1.23-5.04; P = .01). In RRMM, molecular remission was reported in 18.4% of patients in the daratumumab arm versus 3.4% in the control arm and the pooled RR was significant at 5.73 (95% CI: 3.75–8.78; P < .00001).

Bahlis 2020 POLLUX (updated) Spencer 2018 CASTOR (updated)

Test for overall effect: Z = 4.35 (P < 0.0001)

Mateos 2020 ALCYONE (updated)

Mateos 2020 ALCYONE (updated)

Test for overall effect: Z = 1.45 (P = 0.15)

Moreau 2019 CASSIOPEIA

Test for overall effect: Z = 8.08 (P < 0.00001)

Moreau 2019 CASSIOPEIA

Heterogeneity:  $Tau^2 = 0.09$ ;  $Chi^2 = 11.94$ , df = 2 (P = 0.003);  $I^2 = 83\%$ 

Heterogeneity:  $Tau^2 = 0.00$ ;  $Chi^2 = 1.06$ , df = 2 (P = 0.59);  $I^2 = 0\%$ 

Heterogeneity:  $Tau^2 = 0.00$ ;  $Chi^2 = 0.26$ , df = 2 (P = 0.88);  $I^2 = 0\%$ 

(c) Forest plot for PFS in patients with RRMM

Usmani 2019 CANDOR

Facon 2019 MAIA

Total (95% CI)

Facon 2019 MAIA

Total (95% CI)

Study or Subgroup

Bahlis 2020 Pollus (updated)

Usmani 2019 CANDOR

Spencer 2018 CASTOR (updated)

Study	Author/ Year	Study Type	Study Phase	Line of Treatment	Number of Patients		Treatment	
				Line of freatment	Daratumumab	Control	Treatment	
ALCYONE	Mateos/ 2020	Multicentre, Randomised, open-label, active-control	III	Untreated patients who are ineligible for stem cell transplantation	350	356	DVMP	VMP
MAIA	Facon/ 2019	Randomised, open label, multicentre	III	Newly diagnosed multiple myeloma who were ineligible for autologous stem cell transplantation	368	369	DRDex	RDex
CASSIOPEIA	Moreau/ 2019	Multicentre, Randomised, open-label, active-control	III	Newly diagnosed multiple myeloma who were eligible for autologous stem cell transplantation	543	542	DVTDex	VTDex
POLLUX	Bahlis/ 2020	Randomised, open-label, multicentre	III	Relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma	281	276	DRDex	RDex
CASTOR	Spencer/ 2018	Multicentre, randomised, open-label, active-controlled	III	Relapsed or relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma	240	234	DVDex	VDex
CANDOR	Usmani/ 2019	Randomised, open label,	III	Relapsed or relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma	312	154	KDDex	KDex

	CANDOR	Usmani/ 2019	Randomised, open	ı label,	III	refractory multiple myeloma	a and	312	154	KDDex	KDex	
	Thalidomide;	K, Carfilzomib				ialan; P, Prednisol e meta-analysis		, Lenalidomid	le; Dex, Dex	amethas	one; T,	
						Hazard Ratio		Ha	azard Ratio			
5	Study or Subgrou	JD qu	log[Hazard Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Ra	andom, 95% C	l		
Е	Bahlis 2020 POLLUX (updated)		-0.821	0.1168	18.4%	0.44 [0.35, 0.55]		-	-			
	Facon 2019 MAIA		-0.5798		16.9%	0.56 [0.43, 0.73]			•			
	Mateos 2020 ALCYONE (updated)		-0.8675		19.1%	0.42 [0.34, 0.52]		-	-			
	Moreau 2019 CASSIOPEIA			0.1804	13.5%			-	-			
	Spencer 2018 CASTOR (updated)		-1.1712			0.31 [0.24, 0.40]		-				
Usmani 2019 CANDOR		-0.462	0.1605	14.9%	0.63 [0.46, 0.86]			•				
T	otal (95% CI)				100.0%	0.46 [0.38, 0.55]		•	<b>)</b>			
	Heterogeneity: Tau $^2$ = 0.04; Chi $^2$ = 15.65, df = 5 (P = 0.008); $I^2$ = 68% Test for overall effect: Z = 8.07 (P < 0.00001)						0.01 Fav	0.1 ours [daratumun	nab] Favours	10 [control]	100	
	(a) Fore	est plot for o	verall PFS in pa	atient	s with	multiple myel	oma					
						Hazard Ratio	d Ratio			Hazard Ratio		
5	Study or Subgrou	ıp qı	log[Hazard Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Ra	andom, 95% C	ĺ		
	acon 2019 MAIA		-0.5798	0.1348	36.9%	0.56 [0.43, 0.73]		11	-			
N	fateos 2020 ALC	YONE (updated)	-0.5978	0.1256	42.5%	0.55 [0.43, 0.70]		3	-			
N	Moreau 2019 CAS	SSIOPEIA	-0.755	0.1804	20.6%	0.47 [0.33, 0.67]		-	-			
T	otal (95% CI)				100.0%	0.54 [0.46, 0.63]			•			
H	leterogeneity: Ta	$u^2 = 0.00$ ; $Chi^2 = 0$ .	68, df = 2 (P = 0.71);	$l^2 = 0\%$			0.04	014		10	400	
	지는 경하는 것 (6)하는 50	fect: Z = 7.62 (P < 0					0.01	0:1 ours (daratumun	T aphl Favoure	10	100	
			and the second control of the second control				rav	ours [uaraturnun	lauj ravours	[control]		

(b) Forest plot for PFS in patients with NDMM

Heterogeneity:  $Tau^2 = 0.09$ ;  $Chi^2 = 6.35$ , df = 2 (P = 0.04);  $I^2 = 69\%$ Test for overall effect: Z = 4.61 (P < 0.00001) Favours [daratumumab] Favours [control] (f) Forest plot for PFS in patients with RRMM with standard risk cytogenetics Study or Subgroup Bahlis 2020 POLLUX (updated) -

Hazard Ratio IV. Random, 95% CI \_ Spencer 2018 CASTOR (updated) 0.45 [0.25, 0.81] Usmani 2019 CANDOR 0.58 [0.30, 1.12] 0.46 [0.31, 0.67] Heterogeneity:  $Tau^2 = 0.00$ ;  $Chi^2 = 1.10$ , df = 2 (P = 0.58);  $I^2 = 0\%$ Test for overall effect: Z = 4.06 (P < 0.0001) Favours [daratumumab] Favours [control] (g) Forest plot for PFS in patients with RRMM with high risk cytogenetics

Figure 1 Pooled HR for PFS in patients with multiple myeloma: A, overall; B, NDMM; C, RRMM; D, SRC NDMM; E, HRC NDMM; F, SRC RRMM; G, HRC RRMM receiving daratumumab containing regimen versus

# CONCLUSIONS

- Our meta-analysis showed that daratumumab combination regimens significantly improved PFS, ORR, CR, and sCR, and MRD negativity compared to control arms in patients with NDMM and RRMM.
- The improvement in PFS was noted across all subgroups except in NDMM with high-risk cytogenetics.
- More randomized studies are necessary in the future to explore further novel therapies and the optimal combination of anti-myeloma therapies to improve survival in patients with NDMM within the high-risk cytogenetic subset.

# REFERENCES

- Mateos M-V, Cavo M, Blade J, Dimopoulos MA, Suzuki K, Jakubowiak A, et al. Overall survival with daratumumab, bortezomib, melphalan, and prednisone in newly diagnosed multiple myeloma (ALCYONE): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. The Lancet. 2020;395(10218):132-41.
- Facon T, Kumar S, Plesner T, Orlowski RZ, Moreau P, Bahlis N, et al. Daratumumab plus Lenalidomide and Dexamethasone for Untreated Myeloma. N Engl J Med. 2019;380(22):2104-15.
- Moreau P, Attal M, Hulin C, Arnulf B, Belhadj K, Benboubker L, et al. Bortezomib, thalidomide, and dexamethasone with or without daratumumab before and after autologous stem-cell transplantation for newly diagnosed multiple myeloma (CASSIOPEIA): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 study. The Lancet. 2019;394(10192):29-38.
- Bahlis NJ, Dimopoulos MA, White DJ, Benboubker L, Cook G, Leiba M, et al. Daratumumab plus lenalidomide and dexamethasone in relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma: extended follow-up of POLLUX, a randomized, open-label phase 3 study. Leukemia. 2020.
- Spencer A, Lentzsch S, Weisel K, Avet-Loiseau H, Mark TM, Spicka I, et al. Daratumumab plus bortezomib and dexamethasone versus bortezomib and dexamethasone in relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma: updated analysis of
- CASTOR. Haematologica. 2018:haematol.2018.194118.
- Usmani SZ, Quach H, Mateos M-V, Landgren O, Leleu X, Siegel DS, et al. Carfilzomib, Dexamethasone, and Daratumumab Versus Carfilzomib and Dexamethasone for the Treatment of Patients with Relapsed or Refractory Multiple Myeloma (RRMM): Primary Analysis Results from the Randomized, Open-Label, Phase 3 Study Candor (NCT03158688). Blood. 2019;134(Supplement\_2):LBA-6-LBA-.

## CONTACT INFORMATION

Email: thura.winhtut@nhs.scot

BSH2020-PO-125 Full article at

Htut TW, Thein KZ, Lawrie A, Tighe J, Preston G. Efficacy of daratumumab combination regimen in patients with multiple myeloma: A combined analysis of phase III randomized controlled trials. eJHaem. 2020;1(1):262-6.

Thura Win Htut



