BSH 2020 VIRTUAL 9 -14 NOVEMBER



Blood loss, cell salvage, tranexamic acid and transfusion: an audit of major surgery at a tertiary hospital

S. Dawson¹, G. Timms¹ and A. Charlton¹ 1. Freeman Hospital, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK



The Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals **NHS Foundation Trust**

Introduction

Methods

Limitations

Peri-operative blood transfusion is an independent risk factor for morbidity and mortality across a range of major surgery¹. Patient blood management (PBM) is a multimodal approach that seeks to promote the appropriate provision and use of blood, its' components and derivatives, and strategies to reduce or avoid the need for blood transfusion². A key pillar of PBM is to minimise intra-operative bleeding.



The NICE guideline for Blood Transfusion (2015) recommends offering tranexamic acid to adults expected to have moderate blood loss (greater than 500mls) and consideration of intra-operative cell salvage with tranexamic acid for patients who are expected to lose a very high volume of blood³. NICE recommends cell salvage should not routinely be used without tranexamic acid.

We conducted a retrospective snapshot audit. A list of all cases performed during April 2019 was extracted from the Surginet electronic record. A total of 1709 surgeries were listed.

From these, cases were extracted where blood loss "may be expected to exceed 500ml". Surgeries included were from a range of specialties:

- Vascular: elective and emergent open repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm
- Hepatobiliary surgery: liver transplant, hepatic resections and pancreaticoduodenectomy, pancreatic transplant
- Urology: major open surgery such as nephrectomy and cystectomy,
- Head and neck surgery: head and neck cancer surgery
- Orthopaedic surgery: revision hip replacement and sarcoma resection.
- Endoscopy: emergency endoscopy for upper-GI bleeds

Data extracted from patient notes and e-record including baseline patient characteristics, ASA grade, date of surgery, surgery performed, pre and postoperative haemoglobin, documented blood loss during surgery, anaesthetic technique, post-op destination, date of discharge, complications as inpatient, 30day mortality, utilisation of cell salvage and tranexamic acid, and perioperative blood transfusion. This was defined as administration of RBC intra-operatively or within 48hrs of surgery

Intra-operative blood loss is difficult to predict and depends on numerous factors. Some procedures have consistently high blood loss (e.g. emergency repair of AAA), for other procedures the blood loss is more difficult to predict and may be minimal (e.g. major head and neck surgery, nephrectomy). This snapshot audit aimed to incorporate patients across a broad range of specialties, though the methodology of case selection was not pre-determined and therefor subject to observer bias. However 59% of cases identified did have at least moderate blood loss, which makes it a fair sample against which to examine the implementation of the NICE guidelines.

The absolute numbers for any specialty were small, and differences between groups were trends rather than statistically significant. Cell salvage was associated with higher mean blood loss (2040 vs 709ml), LOS (32 vs 10 days) and rates of transfusion (3/7 42.8% vs 9/50 18%), however this data is skewed by the case mix it was utilized in: two elective open AAA, two emergency AAA, a liver transplant, a pancreatic transplant, and a revision hip replacement.

Results

Blood loss

Eight patients did not have their intra-operative blood loss documented. Of the remaining cases the mean blood loss was 840ml (5-6200ml) with median blood loss 500ml (IQ range 75-1150ml)

Twenty-nine patients (59%) had intra-operative blood loss >500ml, this was

Cell salvage utilization

- 7/57 (12%) patients received blood from cell salvage. (2 cases unknown or incomplete documentation)
- The mean volume of blood received from cell salvage was 675ml, (240-1608ml) • 4 patients who received cell salvage avoided peri-operative transfusion despite mean blood loss >2000ml

Conclusions

Our audit shows there is potential for greater utilisation of both tranexamic acid and cell salvage in major surgery undertaken at Freeman Hospital. Barriers to the implementation of both do exist, in particular concerns over thrombotic complications following utilisation of tranexamic acid (particularly in vascular and HPB patients) and use of cell salvage in cancer surgery across all specialties.

- associated with:
- increased chance of receiving peri-operative blood transfusion (8/29 patients 27.5% vs 2/22 9%)
- increased length of stay (mean LOS 16.4 vs 7.8 days, median LOS 9 vs 4.5 days.)

Tranexamic acid utilisation

- A total of nine patients (15%) received tranexamic acid.
- There were no significant differences in mean operative blood loss (785ml) vs 849ml), transfusion requirement (22 vs 21%) or mean LOS (15.7 vs 12.9 days) between patients who did and did not receive tranexamic acid.



FIGURE 1 (above): Documented blood loss in ml (excludes 8 cases where blood loss was not documented)

Perioperative RBC Transfusion

- 12 patients received a transfusion RBC within 48hrs (6 were cancer surgery):
- Only 2 patients who received transfusion received tranexamic acid
- Only 3 patients who received transfusion received cell salvage
- Patients who had a pre-op Hb <130 (30 vs 12%) or blood loss >500ml (27.5 vs 9%) were more likely to receive a transfusion.
- Patients who received transfusion had greater mean (22 vs 11.2 days) and median (19.5 vs 6 days) LOS.



FIGURE 4 (above): Transfusion requirements of patients receiving peri-operative RBC transfusion. Documented blood loss shown in brackets. Cases where cell salvage utilized denoted with *

(2000)

1000

(1200)

Incorporation of discussion of expected blood loss into the WHO checklist would facilitate routine consideration of utility of tranexamic acid and/or cell salvage outside of the specialties in which they are routinely used. Consideration should be given to greater utilisation of tranexamic acid in major surgery outside of orthopaedics. Cell salvage should be considered in major surgery where blood loss expected >500ml, e.g. revision hip, in addition to areas it is already utilised (AAA, liver transplant).

In the context of cancer surgery cell salvage may offer some benefits compared to allogeneic blood transfusion, which has been shown to be associated with detrimental effects on cancer recurrence and survival⁴⁻⁸. The potential reduction in red cell transfusion requirement must be balanced against the chance of re-introducing cancer cells into the circulation. A leucocyte depletion filter may be used to reduce the number of malignant cells re-entering the circulation⁹. Evidence on the relative risks of transfusion vs cell salvage in this area is scarce and so specific consent should be sought.

References

- 1. A Shander, LT Goodnough, Why an alternative to blood transfusion?, Crit Care Clin, 2009, vol. 25 pages 261-77)
- 2. A. Shander, H. Van Aken, M. J. Colomina, H. Gombotz, A. Hofmann, R. Krauspe, S. Lasocki, T. Richards, R. Slappendel, D. R. Spahn, Patient blood management in Europe, BJA: British Journal of Anaesthesia, Volume 109, Issue 1, July 2012, Pages 55–68
- Blood transfusion NICE guideline [NG24] Published date: November 2015 3. https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng24. 4. Acheson AG, Brookes MJ, Spahn DR. Effects of allogeneic red blood cell transfusions on clinical outcomes in patients undergoing colorectal cancer surgery. Ann Surg 2012; 256: 235-244. 5. Rzyman, Witold et al. The influence of blood transfusion on survival in operated non-small cell lung cancer patients. JTCVS, 2003 126(3):755 -760





FIGURE 2: Blood loss and risk of peri-operative RBC transfusion. (excludes 8 cases where blood loss was not documented)

FIGURE 3: Utilisation of tranexamic acid and cell salvage in major surgery

BLOOD RECEIVED FROM CELL SALVAGE, ML FIGURE 5: Blood received from cell salvage in ml. Documented blood loss shown in brackets. Cases receiving subsequent transfusion highlighted in red

(not recorded)

FIGURE 5

AAA (emergency) (not recorded)

AAA

AAA

LIVER TRANSPLANT

TRANSPLANT PANCREAS

AAA (emergency)

REVISION THR

TRANSFUSION 1500 2000 NO TRANSFUSION

LENG⁻

(day: 20

3800)

FIGURE 6: Transfusion and length of stay

FIGURE 6

- 6. Liu, X., Ma, M., Huang, H. et al. Effect of perioperative blood transfusion on prognosis of patients with gastric cancer: a retrospective analysis of a single center database BMC Cancer (2018) 18: 649.
- 7. Kaneko M, Sasaki S, Ishimaru K, Terai E, Nakayama H, Watanabe T. **The** impact of perioperative allogeneic blood transfusion on survival in elderly patients with colorectal cancer. Anticancer Res. 2015 Jun;35(6):3553-8.
- 8. Liu L, Wang Z, Jiang S, Shao B, Liu J, Zhang S, et al. **Perioperative** allogenenic blood transfusion is associated with worse clinical outcomes for hepatocellular carcinoma: A meta-analysis. PLoS One 2013;8:e64261.
- 9. Waters JH, Donnenberg AD. Blood salvage and cancer surgery: should we do it?. Transfusion. 2009;49(10):2016–2018

Contact information: Sarahdawson86@gmail.com

EP-176-A Transfusion Sarah Dawson

