

### IMPACT OF GENDER ON THE SURVIVAL OF LIVE DONOR RENAL TRANSPLANTATION: DATA OF 979 PATIENTS

Yasser Elsayed Matter, Muhammed Ahmed Hadedy, Tarek Medhat Abbas, Mohamed Ahmed Zahab, Mohammed Ashraf Fouda, Ayman Fathi Refaie, Hussein A, Sheashaa, Mohamed Hamed Abbas, Ahmed A Denewar, Ayman Maher Nagib

Dialysis and transplantation department, Urology and Nephrology Center, Mansoura University, Egypt



# ABSTRACT

### **Context:**

Renal transplantation is the golden method for management of end-stage renal disease. Impact of gender disparities on the outcome of renal transplants has been evaluated in many studies, but with debatable results. It has been suggested that female kidney donors have poor outcome after transplantation as compared with male kidney donors, especially when implanted in a male recipient.

Table (1): Donor and recipient characteristics across the donor-recipient									
gender combinations									
	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	Group 4					
Variable	MM	MF	FM	FF	P-value				
	(n=307)	(n=132)	(n=411)	(n=129)					
Recipient age	31.89±10.7	28.48 ±10.7	28.84 ± 11.9	23.62 ±9.1	<0.001				
(M ± SD) years									
Donor age	34.07±10.1	34.55 ±11.4	38.6 ± 9.5	40.47±10.6	<0.001				
(M ± SD) years									
Recipient BMI	23.1±4.27	21.6±4.82	22.6±5.24	22.1±6.05	0.257				
(M ± SD) kg/m2	26.014.40	267426	20.215.50	24.215.46	-0.001				
	26.8±4.48	26.7±4.36	30.3±5.59	31.3±5.46	<0.001				
(M ± SD) kg/m2									
Polatod	256/82 28%)	01/71 21%)	215(82 01%)	122(05 24%)	<0.001				
Inclated	51(16,62%)	38(28 79%)	66(16.06%)	6(4,66%)	<0.001				
	51(10.0276)	56(20.7576)	00(10.00%)	0(4.00%)					
HIA class I mismatching									
Tara mismatch	E0(16 2%)	12/0.99/)	41(0,0%)	12/0 5%)					
One mismatch	50(10.2%)	13(9.0%)	41(9.9%)	25(10.2%)	0.002				
The mismatch	40(13.2%)	20(13.3%)	30(12.1%)	25(19.3%)	0.003				
	144(46.9%)	57(43.2%)	215(52.3%)	67(51.9%)					
	47(15.3%)	32(24.2%)	70(17.2%)	16(12.4%)					
Four mismatch	26(8.4%)	10(7.5%)	35(8.5%)	9 (6.9%)					
HLA class II (DR) mismatching									
Zero mismatch	75(24.4%)	24(18.1%)	55(13.5%)	26(20.1%)	0.026				
One mismatch	232(75.6%)	108(81.9%)	353(85.8%)	103(79.9%)					
Two mismatch	0(0%)	0(0%)	3(0.7%)	0(0%)					
Icchomia timo in minutos (84 + CD)		E1 6+ 16 0		E1 0+14 7	0.704				
Time to diuresis	51.4± 15.0	21.0± 10.8	52./±14.6	51.8±14./	0.704				
Immediate(<10minutes)	286(93.1%)	122(92.4%)	392(95 3%)	126(97.6%)	0 149				
Delayed ( > 10minutes)	21(6.9%)	10(7.6%)	19(4 7%)	3(2 /%)	0.145				
	21(0.9%)	10(7.0%)	19(4.7%)	5(2.4%)					

## RESULTS

Fig. (2) Correlation between age of donor (years) and the mean serum creatinine after 5 years (mg/dl)



**Objective:** 

In many countries like our country, living donors are the only source for ESKD patients who need renal allografts. So, we tried to encourage living donation via answering the question, Are kidneys of female donors having inferiority to kidneys of male donors?

#### **Design:**

The data of 979 patients who underwent live donor kidney transplantation from January 2000 to December 2010 in a single center were reviewed retrospectively.

#### Setting:

The patients were divided into four groups according to recipient and donor gender: male donor-to-male recipient (MM; n = 307), male donor-to-female recipient (MF; n = 132), female donor-to-male recipient (FM; n = 411), and female donor-to-female recipient (FF; n = 129). We compared the demographic characteristics, post transplantation rejection and MM male donor-to-male recipient, MF male donor-to-female recipient, FM female donor-to-male recipient, and FF female donor-to female recipient, BMI body mass index and M ± SD mean values ± standard deviation.





Fig. (2) Kaplan Major graft survival aurus stratified by reginiant

Fig. (3) Kaplan–Meier graft survival curve stratified by recipient– donor gender groups



complications, graft and patient survivals among the groups.

#### Results

Female recipients (with female donors) were significantly younger than other groups. Female donors (female recipient) were older than other groups' donors (P < 0.001) (Table 1). The serum creatinine is correlated with donors' age more than with recipients' age (Figs. 1, 2). Despite the statistical significance of HLA variable, the multivariate analysis revealed that the degree of HLA mismatching did not have any significant impact on the graft survival among the groups (Table 1). No statistical significance regarding the recipient BMI, ischemia time and time to diuresis, acute and chronic rejection rates between the groups. Although donors BMI were statistically significant, the multivariate analysis did not shows any significant predictive factor on graft survival. (Table 1, 2). The male recipients suffered significantly from post transplantation hypertension (p=0.008) with no statistical significance regarding other post-

Acute cellular	56(18.2%)	22(16.8%)	88(21.4%)	15(11.6%)
Acute humoral	12(3.9%)	5(3.7%)	13(3.2%)	7(5.6%)

#### Table (3): Post-transplant complications

	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	Group 4			
Variable	MM	MF	FM	FF	P-value		
	(n=307)	(n=132)	(n=411)	(n=129)			
ATN	18(5.8%)	7(5.3%)	28(6.8%)	10(7.7%)	0.821		
Bacterial infection	48(15.6%)	33(25%)	64(15.5%)	23(17.8%)	0.073		
Hepatic impairment	42(13.6%)	22(16.6%)	61(14.8%)	17(13.1%)	0.829		
Viral infection	44(14.3%)	22(16.6%)	71(17.2%)	23(17.8%)	0.708		
Post transplantation hypertension	181(58.9%)	59(44.6%)	225(54.7%)	58(44.9%)	0.008		
Diabetes Mellitus	21(6.8%)	10(7.5%)	25(6.1%)	5(3.8%)	0.605		
Malignancy	6(1.9%)	1(0.75%)	7(1.7%)	0(0%)	0.375		
Chronic rejection	36(11.7%)	15(11.3%)	45(10.9%)	10(7.7%)	0.667		
ATN acute tubular necrosis.							

Fig. (1) Correlation between age of recipient (years) and the mean serum creatinine after 5 years (mg/dl)

Fig. (4) Kaplan–Meier patient survival curve stratified by recipient–donor gender groups

transplant complications as diabetes mellitus, infection and malignancy (Table 3). There was no statistical significance regarding graft and patient survival between groups (P=0.947 and P=0.421 respectively) (Figs. 3, 4).

**Conclusions:** 

There was no significant impact of donor gender on both the graft and patient survival (P=0.947 and P=0.421 respectively), donor age and BMI discrepancy were non-immunological factors that could have an important role in determining our results, so a prospective randomized studies are recommended to confirm this gender impact stressing on hormonal study.



