

Risk assessment of acute kidney injury in patient with acute cardiovascular diseases

Kurmanov Maxim, Efremovtseva Marina, Avdoshina Svetlana, Kobalava Zhanna, Villevalde Svetlana RUDN University, Moscow, Russia

Background and Objective

Study cardiorenal relationship has allowed developing the concept of CKD and acute kidney injury (AKI). Acute kidney injury (AKI) is common in critically ill patients and is associated with high morbidity and mortality. Early identification of high-risk patients provides an opportunity to develop strategies for prevention, early diagnosis and treatment of AKI.¹

Methods

• The study included 987 people who were 3 groups: those with AD CHF (n = 278) and ACS without ST (n = 288),

respectively, were hospitalized in cardiology, therapeutic and Cardiac Intensive Care Unit Moscow Clinical Hospital

№64, in the third group consisted of patients with stable CHF (n = 421). Statistical analysis was performed using

statistical software application package Statistica 10 and SPSS 22 using standard algorithms of variation statistics.

Purpose: To identify predictors of different flow options AKI in patients with severe cardiovascular disease and to develop a risk assessment scale of AKI in patients with severe cardiovascular disease.

1. Malhotra R1, Kashani KB., et al. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2017 May 1;32(5):814-822. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfx026.

Table 1. Two options for calculating scores for assessing the risk of developing community-acquired acute renal damage in patients with acute cardiovascular pathology

Independent variables	Coefficient	Coefficient
	Regression B	Significance
	(Points)	(Points)
Diabetes	1	22
Alcohol abuse	7	2
Myocardial infarction	5	4
AHF/*AD AHF	9	1
Admission of ACE inhibitors at home	4	7
SBP <120 mm Hg*	10	2
SBP <110 mm Hg. *	5	14
SBP <90 mm Hg. *	12	5
SCr> 98 µmol / L *	14	0
SCr> 128 µmol / L *	8	2
GFR _{CKD-EPI} <45 ml/min/1,73 м ² *	7	3
GFR _{CKD-EPI} <15 ml/min/1,73 м ² *	7	8
Glucose>7 ммоль/л*	4	5
male	6	3
Lack of veroshpiron in home therapy	1	22

Results

- Risk of AKI determined primarily renal function and blood pressure levels, as well as in patients with existing comorbidities.
- Predictor of outpatient AKI, moreover, it was alcohol abuse (OR 2.31, 95% CI 1,4-3,81, p < 0.001), and for the hospital AKI appointment of loop diuretics (OR 2.32, 95% CI 1,53-3,51, p < 0.001) and veroshpiron (OR 2.04, 95% CI 1,35-3,09, p < 0.001) in the hospital, age older than 80 years (OR 1.78, 95% 1,12-2,8 CI, p < 0.05).
- Predictors of persistence AKI had LVEF <35% (OR 2.12, 95% CI 1, 24-3,62, p < 0.001), the appointment veroshpiron (OR 2.12, 95% CI 1, 37-3,28, p < 0.001) and loop diuretics (OR 2.66, 95% CI 1, 71-
- 4,14, p < 0.001) for the first time in the hospital, as compared to its transitory nature SBP at admission > 180 mm Hg. Art. (OR 4.42, 95% CI 1,22-15,95, p < 0.05).
- Thus obtained predictors were included in the regression model, the predictive power of which amounted to 88.5%. Scale was developed risk assessment of AKI, which is based on the values of the

regression coefficient B (area under the curve of 0.860). As a result of the sum of points on the selected risk assessment scale AKI for each patient was built ROC curve, the area under the curve was 0.860, which is rated as very good quality model.

Figure 1.Definition of the threshold score of the risk assessment scale of AKI, taking into account the sensitivity and specificity of the test

Figure 2. ROC-curves of regression models of risk scales of development of OPP using coefficient B and significance factor Figure 3. ROC-analysis of the quality of the risk assessment scale for the development of OPP in patients admitted to hospital

Score	29		30 Prognosis		32 Prognosis		34 Prognosis		35 Prognosis		1,0	ROC curve		
Prognosis		nosis									Curve Beta			
Diagnosis of AKI	AKI +	AKI -	AKI +	AKI -	AKI +	AKI -	AKI +	AKI -	AKI +	AKI -	0,8- Опорная	0,8-		
AKI+	90	11	90	11	81	20	79	22	77	24				
AKI-	170	295	159	306	140	325	131	334	119	346		tivity		
Sensitivity	89% 63% 35%		89% 89% 63% 66%		89%		8	0%	78	3%	76%	6%	: is is is in the second secon	ensi
Specificity					70%		72%		74%		B 0,2- i	S		
Positive Prognostic			35%		30	5%	3'	7%	38	3%	3	9%	0,0	
Significance Negative prognostic significance	96		97		94		94			94	0,0 0,2 0,4 0,6 0,8 1,0 specificity	0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0		
											Diagonal segments are formed by coincidences	Diagonal segments are forme		





by coincidences

Conclusions

The most significant risk factors for ACS are signs of impaired renal function and low levels of SBP at admission, anemia, or AHF or AD CHF, alcohol, appointment veroshpiron and loop diuretics for the first time in the hospital, IHD, CKD, with type 2 diabetes.

The specific predictors for patients with AD CHF are the absence of β-blocker therapy in the outpatient phase, the high status of hydration and history of hospitalizations for heart failure decompensation during the last year, and for patients with ACS without elevation ST - old age, hypoglycemia admission and development of MI in the outcome of ACS.

Declaration of interest: nothing to declare

