HIGHER RESIDUAL URINE VOLUME MEANS BETTER SURVIVAL IN PERITONEAL DIALYSIS PATIENTS

Elbis Ahbap, Ekrem Kara, Yener Koc, Taner Basturk, Tamer Sakaci, Tuncay Sahutoglu, Cuneyt Akgol, Mustafa Sevinc, Zuhal Atan Ucar, Arzu Ozdemir Kayalar, Abdulkadir Unsal

Sisli Etfal Research and Educational Hospital, Department of Nephrology, Istanbul, Turkey

Objectives:

Methods:

Residual renal function (RRF) has been shown to play an important role in volume control and survival in patients with end stage renal disease (ESRD). However, patients with RRF tended to be assigned to PD, because RRF ca be preserved better in PD. We aimed to test the survival advantage of baseline RRF in our cohort of patients with peritoneal dialysis (PD).

Two hundred and two patients who were treated with PD between 1997 and 2012 were reviewed retrospectively. Patients who had been undergoing PD treatment for at least 6 months and age \geq 18 years were selected. The patients were divided into two groups at the beginning of PD therapy according to their urine output; patients with \leq 100 ml/day urine output were regarded as RRF (-) group, while those who had >100 ml/day urine were regarded as RRF (+) group. Demographic, clinical, biochemical parameters, total Kt/V levels, peritonitis incidence, patient survival and causes of death were compared between these two groups.

Table 1. The demographic features and laboratory findings of the patients at the beginning of the PD therapy.

the PD therapy.			
	RRF (-) (n:72)	RRF (+) (n:130)	p
Age (y)	43.5±14.7	43.5±14.5	NS
Gender (F/M)	46/26	60/70	0.019
Dry weight (kg)	60.7±15.8	64.5±14.1	NS
$BMI (kg/m^2)$	23.5±5.4	23.6±4.3	NS
Follow-up time (month)	46.7±32.9	38.2±26.1	0.046
Duration on HD (month)	0.46±0.05	0.47±0.06	NS
Diabetes mellitus (n, %)	7 (%9,7)	24 (%16,9)	NS
Residual volume (ml/day)	32.9±78.7	639.0±438.5	0.001
Creatinine clearance (ml/min)	57.7±14.5	63.8±18.1	0.034
Kt/V	2.21±0.44	2.20±0.61	NS
Ultrafiltration (ml/day)	1075.3±417.4	982.5±457.5	NS
Serum hemoglobin (g/dl)	10.8±2.5	10.7±1.5	NS
Serum albumin (g/dl)	3.7±0.5	3.6±0.6	NS
Serum calcium (mg/dl)	9.3±0.9	9.0±0.9	0.011
Serum phosporus (mg/dl)	4.9±1.6	5.1±1.6	NS
Serum intact PTH (pg/ml)	329.9±358	334.6±366.4	NS
Serum Ferritin (ng/ml)	660.9±647.7	327.3±274.7	0.001
Serum bicarbonate (mEq/L)	25±3.6	25.5±3.5	NS
Serum CRP (mg/L)	19.6±28.9	19.7±30.8	NS

Table 2. Mortality rates and etiologies of the groups.					
	RRF (-) (n:72)	RRF (+) (n:130)	p		
Exitus (n)	15	11	0.012		
Peritonitis	4	4	NS		
Other infections	1	0	NS		
Cardiac	2	1	NS		
Pulmonary embolism	1	0	NS		
Malnutrition	1	1	NS		
Inadequate dialysis	2	0	NS		
Unknown	4	5	NS		

Table 3. Factors correlates with residual renal volume at the beginning of the PD therapy.				
	p	r		
Dry weight (kg)	0.005	+0.197		
Duration on HD (month)	0.001	-0.336		
CRP (mg/L)	0.011	-0.222		
Ferritin (ng/ml)	0.001	-0.347		
Creatinine clearance (ml/min)	0.021	+0.186		
Exitus (n)	0.048	-0.139		

survival survival Lower Upper RRF(-) 72 15 119.1 %91.3 91.5 **%**88.0 %74.4 105.3±7.0 **RRF(+)** 130 11 99.1 106.7±3.8 114.3 %96.5 %90.2 **%**95.4

Table 4. Patient survival time according to residual renal functions at the beginning of the PD

beginning of the PD therapy. **ODDS** % 95 CI Lower Upper Advanced age (y) 1.074 1.013 1.140 Low serum albumin (g/dl) 0.048 0.235 0.056 0.986High serum CRP (mg/L) 1.041 1.016 Low serum hemoglobin (g/dl) 3.794 1.189

Table 5. Multivariate logistic regression analysis of the factors that predicts mortality at the

Follow-up time (months)

Low Kt/V

Results:

Data of 202 patients were available to review; 72 (35.6%) patients had no-RRF (46 female, mean age 43.5 14.7 years, mean duration of hemodialysis before PD 0.46 0.05 months), while 130 (64.5%) patients had RRF (60 female, mean age 43.5 14.5 years, mean urine volume 639.0 438.5mL/day, mean duration of hemodialysis before PD 0.47 0.06 months). Mean follow time was 41.2 28.9 months. Mean survival rates of patients with versus without RRF at 1, 3, and 5 years were 96.5% vs 91.3% (p = 0.05), 95.4% vs 88.0% (p = 0.05), and 90.2% vs 74.4% (p = 0.05), respectively. Peritonitis was slightly, but insignificantly more common in patients without RRF (1.88 2.5 vs 1.48 1.8) and the most common cause of death in both groups (no-RRF 26.6% vs RRF 36.3%). Two patients died due to dialysis insufficiency among those without RRF, while non in the other group. Urine volume at the beginning of PD was correlated negatively with mortality (r = -0.245; p = 0.05) and positively with total creatinine clearance (r = +0.259; p = 0.039).

Conclusions:

PD patients with residual renal function have a survival advantage both early and late in the course of treatment. The advantage in rates of peritonitis did not reach a statistical significance due to low event rate. Higher urine volume may be an indicator of a higher glomerular creatinine clearance, which translates into lower mortality.

References:

- 1. Perl J, Bargman JM. The importance of residual kidney function for patients on dialysis: a critical review. Am J Kidney Dis. 2009 Jun;53(6):1068-81.
- 2. Brener ZZ, et al. Clinical benefit of preserving residual renal function in dialysis patients: an update for clinicians. Am J Med Sci. 2010 May; 339(5):453-6.
- 3. Haag-Weber M. The impact of residual renal function on survival. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2008 Jul;23(7):2123-6.
- 4. Marrón B, et al. Benefits of preserving residual renal function in peritoneal dialysis. Kidney Int Suppl. 2008 Apr;(108):S42-51.





