







RECOVERY OF RENAL FUNCTION IN LIVER TRANSPLANT ALONE VERSUS COMBINED LIVER KIDNEY TRANSPLANTATION: ANALYSIS FROM THE NHSBT UK REGISTRY

Francesca Tinti^{1,2}, Anna Paola Mitterhofer², James Hodson¹, James Ferguson¹, Ilaria Umbro^{1,2}, Paolo Muiesan¹, Thamara Perera¹

1. The Liver Unit, Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham, United Kingdom. 2. Department of Clinical Medicine, Nephrology and Dialysis, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy

OBJECTIVES

Recovery of renal function after liver transplantation is strongly influenced by pretransplant degree and duration of renal insufficiency, despite imprecise methods for measuring renal dysfunction. Indications for combined liver-kidney transplantation (CLKT) have been defined, but these are still under debate and hepatorenal syndrome (HRS) is a particularly challenging condition given the hardly predictable spontaneous improvement with liver transplant alone (LTA).

Table 1. Kidney function outcome at 1, 3 and 5 years post-transplantation for patients undergoing LTA compared to CLKT and stratified on the basis of eGFR at transplantation.

	LTA	CLKT	p
Stage G3a	(n patients)	(n patients)	
(eGFR 59-45 mL/min/m²)			
(0000000)	(687)	(6)	0.651
1y post-LT	54 [45-67]	53 [30-73]	
	(522)	(4)	0.270
3y post-LT	53 [42-64]	60 [50-80]	
	(381)	(4)	0.275
	52 [42-64]	67 [46-89]	
5y post-LT			
Stage G3b			
(eGFR 44-30 mL/min/m²)	(== 1)	(0)	0.004
	(294)	(8)	0.381
1y post-LT	49 [41-60]	45 [37-55]	0.446
2rr most I T	(207)	(7)	0.416
3y post-LT	46 [37-61]	40 [38-52]	0.567
5v post I T	(146)	(5)	0.567
5y post-LT Stage G4	49 [39-61]	38 [34-66]	
(eGFR 29-15 mL/min/m²)			
(COT IX 20-10 III Z/IIII Z/III	(65)	(18)	0.500
1y post-LT	51 [34-68]	56 [48-61]	0.000
1) Post E1	(46)	(14)	0.426
3y post-LT	49 [31-65]	53 [47-61]	
- J P	(31)	(10)	0.687
5y post-LT	44 [29-67]	52 [38-55]	
Stage G5			
(eGFR <15 mL/min/m²)			
	(9)	(12)	0.219
1y post-LT	41 [20-63]	60 [45-65]	
	(8)	(9)	0.321
3y post-LT	39 [21-70]	62 [42-66]	
	(4)	(7)	1.000
5y post-LT	54 [41-113]	53 [46-73]	
DDT notionts			
RRT patients	(244)	(62)	
1v poet I T	(244) 63 [50-80]	(63) 50 [37-67]	< 0.0001
1y post-LT	63 [50-80] (162)	50 [37-67] (47)	~U.UUU1
3y post-LT	(162) 60 [48-77]	46 [40-59]	< 0.0001
J post-L1	(105)	(32)	0.0001
5y post-LT	61 [49-78]	47 [37-56]	< 0.0001
oj post Li	01 [17-70]	17 [37-30]	0.0001

METHODS

We analysed data of 6035 patients (Jan 2001-Dec 2012) from NHSBT UK Transplant Registry. Renal function at 1 year after transplantation was compared between CLKT and LTA with stratification on the basis of glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) at transplant (KDIGO Guidelines) and treatment with renal replacement therapy (RRT). Renal function post-transplantation was classified as eGFR >60, between 59-30 and <30 ml/min/1.73m2, the latter identified as non recovery of renal function. Univariate and multivariable analysis were performed to identify possible risk factors of renal function non-recovery.

RESULTS

Renal Function after transplantation and renal recovery

Kidney function outcome at 1, 3 and 5 years post-transplantation was considered for patients undergoing LTA compared to CLKT and stratified on the basis of eGFR at transplantation (Table 1). No differences where detected in renal function outcome and renal non-recovery between LTA and CLKT patients for different stratification of renal function at transplant.

Patients on RRT at time of transplantation presented a significantly better renal function when receiving LTA compared to CLKT. This difference is consistent at 1, 3 and 5 years post-transplant.

Non-recovery of renal function (eGFR <30mL/min/1.73m²) in patients treated with RRT at time of transplantation was significantly higher in patients receiving CLKT compared to patients receiving LTA (Table 2).

Table 2. Recovery of renal function 1 year post-transplantation stratified on the basis of stages of renal function at transplantation.

eGFR Stages [mL/min/1.73m ²]	Renal function recovery	LTA [number of patients]	CLKT [number of patients]	p
G3a		[687]	[6]	0.050
[59-45]	eGFR ≥30	673 (98.0)	5 (88.3)	
	eGFR ≤29	14 (2.0)	1 (16.7)	
G3b		[294]	[8]	0.572
[44-30]	eGFR ≥30	275 (93.5)	7 (87.5)	
	eGFR ≤29	19 (6.5)	1 (12.5)	
G4		[65]	[18]	0.140
[29-15]	eGFR ≥30	53 (81.5)	18 (100.0)	
	eGFR ≤29	12 (18.5)	_	
G 5		[9]	[12]	0.154
[<15]	eGFR ≥30	7 (77.8)	12 (100.0)	
	eGFR ≤29	2 (22.2)	-	
RRT		[244]	[63]	0.001
	eGFR ≥30	230 (94.2)	57 (90.5)	_
	eGFR ≤29	14 (5.7)	6 (9.5)	

CONCLUSIONS

In our patients, renal function non-recovery does not result different between patients undergoing LTA or CLKT for different stratification of pre-transplant renal function. A significantly higher number of patients non-recovering renal function resulted among patients on RRT before transplantation receiving CLKT. This could be consistent with the hypothesis that the ethiology of renal dysfunction pre-OLT is important and that those patients probably experiencing HRS can recover renal function with LTA. Moreover it is likely that renal non-recovery in CLKT may be due to the damage sustained by transplanted kidneys undergoing to CIT during the preservation process.

We identified RRT at time of transplantation, female gender and diabetes as risk factors that negatively affect renal function non-recovery for patients receiving LTA. This may suggest to consider CLKT when these conditions are present, but further studies are deserved to better confirm this hypothesis.

Risk factors for renal function non-recovery

univariate The analysis identified recipient age >50 years, female gender, RRT in patients with MELD >20, polycystic disease and diabetes as predictive factors non-recovery of renal function in patients undergoing LTA. In the multivariable model including all clinically relevant variables simultaneously, the independent predictors of renal function non-recovery were female gender (HR 2.76; 95% CI 1.52-4.99, p=0.001), RRT in patients with MELD >20 (HR 3.62; 95% CI 1.44-9.08, p=0.006) and diabetes (HR 2.55; 95% CI 1.38-4.73, p=0.003).

REFERENCES:

- Nadim MK, Sung RS, Davis CL, Andreoni K a, Biggins SW, Danovitch GM, et al. Am J Transplant 2012 Nov;12(11):2901– 8
- Levin A, Stevens P, Bilous R.
 Kidney Int Suppl Oct 23;Suppl(3):1–150.



Renal transplantation. Clinical.

Francesca Tinti





