Glomerular filtration rate estimation using B-trace protein: external validation of three equations

Amaryllis H.Van Craenenbroeck^{a,b}, Abdul Rashid Qureshi^a, Ann-Christin Bragfors-Helin^a, Per Simonsson^{c,d}, Bengt Lindholm^a, Peter Barany^a, Björn Anderstam^a, Peter Stenvinkel^a, Olof Heimbürger^a

Introduction and aims

-Beta-trace protein (BTP) is a low-molecular-weight protein emerging as a novel endogenous glomerular filtration rate (GFR) marker.

-Different BTP-based equations are proposed to estimate GFR (eGFR) in populations with mild to moderate CKD¹⁻³, and more recently in patients with end-stage renal disease⁴ (ESRD – CKD stage 5) (Table).

- We initially evaluated three BTP-based equations in a population merely constituting of CKD stage 5 patients. Additionally, the agreement of the newly released formula⁴ with measured GFR (mGFR) in ESRD was evaluated.

Methods

- Plasma BTP was measured in 710 subjects (N Latex BTP, Siemens) (Table)
- For the entire cohort, level of agreement of 3 BTP-based formulas with eGFR MDRD was assessed.
- Agreement with mGFR, calculated as iohexol clearance or mean of urea and creatinin clearance, was assessed in CKD stage 3-4 and HS (n=123) and CKD stage 5 (n=298) respectively.

Study subjects (n=710) CKD stage 3-4 (n=86) CKD stage 5 on HD (n=217) CKD stage 5 on PD (n=83) CKD stage 5 without RRT (n=279) Healthy controls (HS; n=45)

Author	Formula
Poge (1)	GFR= 974.31 x BTP ^{-0.2594} x creatinine ^{-0.647}
White (2)	GFR= 167.8 x BTP ^{-0.758} x creatinine ^{-0.204} (x 0.871 if female)
Inker (3)	GFR= 55 x BTP ^{-0.695} x 0.998 ^{age} (x 0.899 if female)
Shafi (4)	GFR= BTP ^{-2.16} (x 1.652 if male)

Results

-Among 665 pts (age 59 \pm 14 yrs; 63% male, 1% African), mean eGFR MDRD was 13.4 \pm 19.5 ml/min/1.73 m².

-BTP levels appeared to be independent of age and gender.

Entire cohort: *agreement with eGFR MDRD* Overestimation of GFR with BTP-based formulas



CKD stage 3-4 and HS: agreement with mGFR

Only fair agreement with Poge's formula



CKD stage 5: agreement with mGFR Fair agreement of both Poge's and Shafi's formula



Conclusion

REFERENCES

I.Poge U et al, Clin Chem, 2008 2. White CA et al, Clin Chem, 2007 3. Inker LA et al, Am J Kidney Dis, 2015 4.Shafi T et al, *Kidney International*, 2016

- - In the studied population, the Poge formula, using combination of both creatinine and BTP levels, appears as the most accurate BTP-based GFR estimation across the whole range of GFR.
 - In ESRD patients, BTP-based equations are promising, but further validation against the gold standard is warranted.



Amaryllis Van

242--SP

(a) Karolinska Institute

Divisions of Renal Medicine and Baxter Novum, Department of Clinical Science, Intervention and Technology, Stockholm, Sweden

(b) University of Antwerp Department of Nephrology, Antwerp University Hospital, Belgium

(c) Siemens Healthcare AB Upplands Väsby, Sweden





sters rted by nann- La e Ltd.	Adding turpean Nephrologie	
--	----------------------------	--



Institutet

Chronic Kidney Disease. Lab methods, GFR measurement, urine proteomics.