THE PROGNOSTIC FACTORS FOR MORTALITY IN CHILDREN RECEIVING CONTINUOUS RENAL REPLACEMENT THERAPY IN PEDIATRIC INTENSIVE

CARE UNIT

Aksu Nejat, Yavascan Onder, Alparslan Caner, Elmas Cengizhan, Saritas Serdar, Anil Ayse Berna, Anil Murat, Can Kamit Fulya, Bal Alkan, Kasap Demir Belde,

Mutlubas Ozsan Fatma.

Izmir Tepecik Teaching and Research Hospital, Department of Pediatric Nephrology, Izmir, TURKEY



Introduction

Continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT) is an important modality of treatment in critically ill patients. Our aim was identifying prognostic factors for mortality in critically-ill children receiving continuous renal replacement therapy.

Material and Method

Patients who received CRRT between 2008 and 2013 in pediatric intensive care unit (PICU) of Tepecik Teaching and Research Hospital from Izmir-Turkey were evaluated.

Results

- 25 patients (median age: 96 month; range: 2.5-216 month; male/female: 15/10) who received CRRT were enrolled the study.
- 20 children (80%) have underlying chronic disease.
- The indications for CRRT were
 - Acute renal failure plus volume overload unresponsive to other therapies (15 patients, 60%),
 - Acute renal failure (8 patients, 32%),
 - Volume overload (1 patient, 4%),
 - Removal of toxins, metabolites/correction of severe acidosis (1 patient, 4%),
- Continuous venovenous haemodiafiltration were used in 24 patients (96%),
- Continuous venovenous hemodialysis were used in 1 patient (4%),
- 13 patients (52%) were died.
- There were significant differences in between survivors and non-survivors
 - Pediatric Risk of mortality III (PRISM III; 21 points vs. 22 points) score, (p<0.05).
 - Pediatric Logistic Organ failure (PELOD; 31 points vs. 33 points) score, (p<0.05).
 - Number of organ failure (4 organs vs. 6 organs), (p < 0.05).
 - The rate of cardiovascular failure (7 vs. 13), (p < 0.05).
 - The rate of hepatic failure (3 vs. 9) (p < 0.05).

Conclusion

In survivors, the higher PRISM III and PELOD scores, the higher number of organ failure, presence of heart failure and hepatic failure were important prognostic factors for mortality for children received CRRT in PICU

Table 1. Underlying disease

Disease	n	%
Septic shock	15	60
Pulmonary haemorrhage	1	4
Congenital metabolic disease	1	4
Oncologic disease	1	4
Post- cardiac surgery	1	4
Renal disease	2	8
Neurologic disease	2	8
HUS / TTP	2	8
Total	25	100

Table 2. Indications for CRRT

Indications	n	%
Renal failure	8	32
Fluid overload	1	4
Removal of toxins (metabolic disease)	1	4
Renal failure + fluid overload	15	60

Table 3. Differences in between survivors and non-survivors

	Survivors n=12	Non-survivors n=13	p
Sex (F/ M)	5/7	5/8	1.000
Age (month)	156	78	0.852
Weight (kg)	40	20	0.437
PIM (%)	61.2	72	0.014
PRISM score	21	22	0.014
PELOD score	30	32	□ 0.001
Chronic renal disease (n)	5	5	1.000
Chronic disease (n)	9	11	0.645
Presence of sepsis (n)	4	12	0.004
Number of organ failure (n)	4	6	0.001
Cardiac failure (n)	7	13	0.015
Renal failure (n)	12	13	0,480
Hepatic failure (n)	3	9	0.027
Pulmonary failure (n)	12	13	0.480
Neurologic failure (n)	9	12	0.322
Hematologic failure (n)	5	10	0.111
Intubated/ventilated (n)	10	13	0.220
Received dopamine (n)	7	13	0.015
Inotropic score (n)	37	30	0.817
Pre-CRRT urea (n)	56	53	0.650
Pre-CRRT creatinine (n)	3.4	2	0.123
Pre-CRRT CrCl (n)	22	37	0.186
Fluid overload (%)	8	11	0.098
Dose of heparin (u/kg/h)	7.7	8	0.811
Use of heparin	10	3	0.03
Time to initiate CRRT (hours)	4	5	0.470
Duration of CRRT (days)	5	2	0.052
Filter life span (hours)	42	24	0.137

