# THE COST EFFECTIVENESS OF A LOW CLEARANCE CLINIC

Anabela Malho Guedes<sup>1</sup>, Ana Paula Silva<sup>1</sup>, Carlos Gonçalves<sup>2</sup>, Sandra Sampaio<sup>1</sup> Elsa Morgado<sup>1</sup>, Viriato Santos<sup>1</sup>, Idalécio Bernardo<sup>1</sup>, Pedro Leão Neves<sup>1</sup>

> 1) HOSPITAL DE FARO, FARO, PORTUGAL 2) FRESENIUS MEDICAL CARE, LISBOA, PORTUGAL

The projected growth in spending for the treatment of end-stage renal failure threatens to become unsustainable for most countries. Different sources suggest that patients with progressive chronic kidney disease should be managed in a multidisciplinary care setting. Given that multidisciplinary teams impact health care resources, it is imperative to evaluate their effectiveness.

### OBJECTIVE

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the impact of exposure to conventional nephrology care or low clearance clinic team (LCC) care prior to dialysis initiation on patient outcomes and expenditure after dialysis initiation.

This retrospective study considered incident haemodialysis patients attending the LCC vs receiving standard nephrologist care at our Centre, between 2008 and 2011.

Data were collected of all patients at the time of dialysis initiation. Serial laboratory data were collected at the time of dialysis initiation and monthly until 12 months of follow-up. Costs were calculated based on the Portuguese capitation system introduced in 2008.

Statistical analysis

For comparison between groups, the Student's t-test or Wilcoxon ranksum test and chi-square test were used. We used a binary logistic regression model to evaluate the risk of hospitalization. Survival on dialysis was examined using the Kaplan–Meier method and was compared using the log-rank test. Cox proportional hazard modelling was also used to examine hazard ratios for death as outcome. P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

### RESULTS

Table 1. Summary demographics at dialysis initiation							
	Entine cabout	Standard	Low clearance				
	Entire cohort	nephrologist care	clinic	р 			
N (%)	176	63 (36.0%)	113 (64.0%)				
Follow-up (months)	$43.0 \pm 41.6$	$39.6 \pm 45.6$	$45.1 \pm 39.4$	ns			
Age, (years )	$67.4 \pm 15.2$	$66.0 \pm 15.7$	$68.3 \pm 15.0$	ns			
Female gender, n (%)	70 (39.8%)	28 (44.4%)	42 (37.2%)	ns			
Diabetes, n (%)	58 (33.0%)	18 (28.6%)	40 (35.4%)	ns			
Cardiovascular disease, n (%)	49 (27.8%)	19 (30.2%)	30 (26.6%)	ns			
GFR, (ml/min/m <sup>2</sup> )	$9.5 \pm 3.7$	$8.3 \pm 2.9$	$10.1 \pm 4.0$	0.002			
Definitive vascular access, n (%)	138 (78.4%)	41 (65.1%)	97 (85.8%)	0.092			

**Table** 

	Standard	Low clearance	p	
	nephrologist care	clinic		
Hemoglobin (g/dl)				
Dialysis start	$10.1 \pm 1.5$	$10.2 \pm 1.4$	ns	
6 months	$11.8 \pm 1.6$	$11.8 \pm 1.5$	ns	
12 months	$11.5 \pm 1.5$	$11.6 \pm 1.1$	ns	
Albumin (g/dl)				
Dialysis start	$3.5 \pm 0.6$	$3.8 \pm 0.5$	0.02	
6 months	$4.0 \pm 0.4$	$4.0 \pm 0.4$	ns	
12 months	$4.0 \pm 0.3$	$4.1 \pm 0.4$	ns	
Calcium (mg/dl)				
Dialysis start	$8.4 \pm 1.0$	$8.7 \pm 0.8$	0.0	
6 months	$8.9 \pm 0.7$	$8.9 \pm 0.7$	ns	
12 months	$8.7 \pm 0.6$	$8.8 \pm 0.6$	ns	
Phosphate (mg/dl)				
Dialysis start	$5.4 \pm 1.6$	$4.9 \pm 1.5$	0.0	
6 months	$4.5 \pm 1.3$	$4.6 \pm 1.4$	ns	
12 months	$4.2 \pm 1.3$	$4.5 \pm 1.3$	ns	
PTH (pg/ml)				
Dialysis start	$841.1 \pm 625.5$	$549.7 \pm 512.4$	0.0	
6 months	$186.8 \pm 130.5$	$225.8 \pm 185.3$	ns	
12 months	$247.9 \pm 173.2$	$276.0 \pm 170.2$	ns	

	Standard	Low clearance	n	
	nephrologist care	clinic	p	
Total Costs (euros)				
6 months	$5087.3 \pm 3741.4$	$3737.7 \pm 2386.4$	0.005	
12 months	$8024.6 \pm 5311.9$	$6336.4 \pm 4768.7$	0.035	
Anemia related costs (euros)				
6 months	$4135.5 \pm 3592.0$	$3048.0 \pm 2069.2$	0.013	
12 months	$6443.8 \pm 4846.7$	$5126.2 \pm 4168.8$	0.064	
Mineral bone metabolism related costs				
(euros)				
6 months	$874.1 \pm 947.1$	$641.7 \pm 870.6$	ns	
12 months	$1444.5 \pm 1777.0$	$1131.5 \pm 1584.7$	ns	
High blood pressure related costs (euros)				
` ′				
6 months	$67.2 \pm 84.6$	$38.4 \pm 54.1$	0.008	
12 months	$116.4 \pm 160.3$	$60.3 \pm 85.8$	0.003	

There were no differences in survival or morbidity between groups. Older age was associated with greater risk hospitalization and death; a definitive vascular access correlated with lower hospitalization and a trend to lower risk of death.

Table 4. Risk of death (Cox proportional hazard modelling)

Table 5. Risk of hospitalization

Characteristic	β	Exp (B)	95% CI	P value		β	Exp (B)	95% CI	P value
Age	2.170	8.759	2.683 – 28.598	< 0.001		0.770	2.159	1.069 – 4.361	0.032
Gender	0.219	1.245	0.645 - 2.403	ns		0.440	1.552	0.747 - 3.226	ns
GFR	0.285	1.330	0.692 - 2.554	ns		0.364	1.439	0.693 - 2.986	ns
Definitive vascular access	-0.703	0.495	0.245 - 1.002	0.051		-1.316	0.268	0.106 - 0.680	0.006
Low clearance clinic	-0.087	0.916	0.480 - 1.749	ns		0.218	1.243	0.592 - 2.610	ns
Diabetes	0.168	1.183	0.636 - 2.200	ns		0.118	1.125	0.536 - 2.360	ns
Cardiovascular disease	0.154	1.166	0.604 - 2.251	ns		0.621	0.139	0.817 - 4.233	ns

## CONCLUSIONS

Better biochemical variables and presence of a definitive vascular access at dialysis initiation in the Low Clearance Clinic group, resulted in a lower expenditure during the first year of haemodialysis.





