













An Academic Health Sciences Centre for London

Pioneering better health for all

# Pregnancy on Haemodialysis: A UK National Prospective Cohort Study

Kate Bramham<sup>1,2</sup>, Cathy Nelson-Piercy<sup>2</sup>, Kate Wiles<sup>2</sup>, Marian Knight<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Renal Medicine, Division of Transplantation Immunology and Mucosal Biology, King's College London, UK

<sup>2</sup>Women's Health Academic Centre, Division of Women's Health; King's College London, UK

<sup>3</sup>National Perinatal Epidemiology Unit, University of Oxford, UK

### Introduction

- Recent reports suggest that pregnancy outcomes are improving in women requiring renal replacement due to intensive dialysis regimes<sup>1</sup>
- Contemporaneous prospective data are lacking in the UK for women requiring dialysis
- Few studies compare pregnancy outcomes in women requiring dialysis with those of renal transplant recipients.

# Aim:

 To prospectively collect data on pregnancy outcomes in women requiring renal replacement in the UK and to compare outcomes with renal transplant recipients.

### **Methods**

- Women who received dialysis for chronic kidney disease in pregnancies delivering between Jan 2011-Dec 2012 were identified through the UK Obstetric Surveillance System (UKOSS).
- Pregnancy outcomes were compared with those of women with renal transplants identified by UKOSS (Jan 2007- Mar 2010) (n=105).<sup>2</sup>

# Results

- Thirteen pregnancies in women receiving dialysis were identified in the study period, including five women (38.5%) starting haemodialysis during pregnancy.
- No pregnancies in women receiving peritoneal dialysis were reported.
- Median number of hours dialysis received per week was 22.5 (IQR 18, 39).
- One woman had nocturnal haemodialysis but neonatal outcome data were not reported and excluded from outcome analysis.
- There were no differences in baseline demographics between women receiving haemodialysis or renal transplants, or between those who started dialysis before or during pregnancy. (Table 1)
- Women receiving haemodialysis were more likely to be taking aspirin and erythrocyte stimulating agents than those with renal transplants and had lower haemoglobin concentrations in all trimesters (Table 2).
- Only four women receiving haemodialysis (30.8%) were reported to receive intravenous iron during pregnancy.

Table 1: Maternal demographics

	Haemodialysis N=13	Renal Transplant N=105
Age at booking (years) Median (IQR)	34.5 (26.5, 37.5)	33.0 (28.5, 37.5)
BMI (kg/m²) Median (IQR)	25.7 (22.3, 31.9)	25.6 (22.3, 28.8)
Ethnicity		
White	9 (69.2%)	91 (86.7%)
Black	2 (15.4%)	3 (2.9%)
Asian	1 (7.7%)	10 (9.5%)
Other	1 (7.7%)	1 (1.0%)
Previous Transplant	3 (23.1%)	-
Nulliparous	4 (30.7%)	62 (60%)
Smoking	N=13	N=102
Never	9 (69.2%)	81 (79.4%)
Current smoker	0	8 (7.8%)
Stopped pre/during pregnancy	4 (30.8%)	13 (12.7%)
Aspirin Use	9 (69.2%)*	32 (30.4%)*
Erythrocyte stimulating Agent Use	9 (69.2%)**	3 (3%)**

\*P=0.01; \*\*P<0.0001



Adverse dialysis related events included:

- one line infection
- one episode of intradialysis hypotension
- one fistula thrombosis.
- There were no differences in live births between women receiving haemodialysis and renal transplants
- There was one stillbirth at 25 weeks' gestation in a woman receiving 12 hours haemodialysis per week
- Women receiving haemodialysis were more likely to deliver infants at earlier gestations of lower birth weights requiring neonatal intensive care than women with renal transplants.(Table 3)

# References

- 1. Hladunewich MA et al *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2014;25:1103-1109
- 2. Bramham K et al *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2012;8:290-8

# Acknowledgements

We thank the Lauren Page Charity for funding the study, UKOSS and all participating centres for data collection

Table 2: Maternal Outcomes

Table 2. Material Outcomes				
	Haemodialysis N=13	Renal Transplant N=105		
Pre-eclampsia	3 (23.1%)	23 (21.9%)		
Mode of delivery				
Iatrogenic Delivery	8 (61.5%)	42 (44%)		
Emergency Caesarean section	1 (7.7%)	18 (17.1%)		
Elective Caesarean section	7 (53.8%)	43 (41.0%)		
Lowest Hb (g/l)				
Median (IQR)				
First Trimester	95 (82, 100)*	109 (101, 120)*		
Second Trimester	78 (72, 93)**	98 (90, 107)**		
Third Trimester	89 (80, 94)\$	98 (90, 105)\$		
Highest Urea (mmol/l) Median IQR				
First Trimester	16.3 (15.2, 22.6)	_		
Second Trimester	12.5 (5.6, 27.1)	_		
Third Trimester	14.3 (10.8, 16.6)	-		
Admission to High Dependency Care	1 (7.7%)	21 (20.0%)		

•\*P=0.001; \*\*P<0.0001; \$P=0,015

Table 3: Neonatal Outcomes

Table 9. Hoonatal Oatoonico				
	Haemodialysis N=12	Renal Transplant N=105		
Live Birth	12 (92.3%)	96 (91.4%)		
Miscarriage	0	9 (8.6%)		
Stillborn	1 (7.7%)	0		
Gestation at Delivery (Wks) Median (IQR)	32.0 (26.5, 37.1) \$\$	36.5 (34.0, 38.0) \$\$		
< 37 weeks	10 (76.9%)	51 (48.6%)		
<34 weeks	7 (53.8%)#	20 (19.0%)#		
Birth weight (grams) Median (IQR)	1940 (1547, 2300)**	2522 (2188, 3062)**		
Neonatal Intensive Care Admission	9 (75.0%)##	37 (35.2%)##		

\$\$P=0.016; #P=0.01; ##P=0.03

- There were no correlations between number of dialysis hours, highest urea in each trimester and gestation at delivery or birthweight.
- Polyhydramnios was reported in four pregnancies (30.7%) in women receiving haemodialysis.

# Conclusions

- Women receiving haemodialysis during pregnancy have worse neonatal outcomes than those with renal transplants.
- Number of dialysis hours per week appears to be increased during pregnancy, but the effects of further augmentation of dialysis dose needs to be explored.







South London and Maudsley

NHS Foundation Trust









