

# A CALCINEURIN INHIBITOR WITH AN IMPROVED SIDE EFFECT PROFILE?

Robert Huizinga<sup>1</sup>, Neil Solomons<sup>1</sup>, Mark Abel<sup>2</sup>, 1Aurinia Pharmaceuticals, Clinical Affairs, Victoria, BC, CANADA, 2Aurinia Pharmaceuticals, Chemistry, Edmonton, AB, CANADA.

## INTRODUCTION AND AIMS


Voclosporin (VCS) is a novel CNi intended for use in the treatment of autoimmune diseases such as lupus nephritis. VCS was created by adding a single carbon extension to the amino acid-1 region of cyclosporine A. This alters the cyclophilin-voclosporin complex binding to calcineurin, increasing the potency of voclosporin and shifting the primary site for voclosporin metabolism resulting in less competitive antagonism. The results of these changes allows for the administration of lower doses, less pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic variability and a potentially improved safety profile compared with other CNIs. As TAC and VCS inhibit calcineurin and prevent NFATc activation through different mechanisms, a Phase 2b renal transplant study (PROMISE) confirmed that the incidence of diabetes was significantly lower at 6 months post-transplant in a low-VCS treatment group compared to a TAC treatment group (1.6% vs. 16.4%, respectively,  $p = 0.031$ ).

## METHODS

To predict the adverse event profile of VCS in LN with fixed dosing, a population pharmacokinetic study was completed in renal allograft patients ( $n=274$ )<sup>1</sup>, plaque psoriasis patients ( $n=341$ ), renal/hepatic impaired patients ( $n=51$ )<sup>2</sup> and a pooled group of healthy patients participating in all Phase 1 studies ( $n=170$ )<sup>3,4</sup> treated with VCS. Patients dosed on a mg/kg BID dosing schedule had their fixed dose extracted and utilized for modeling purposes. Trough concentrations were compared with blood pressure and Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) in plaque psoriasis patients.


## MODEL

Using a PopPK model, a theoretical lupus nephritis population was simulated. Using data from the ALMS studies<sup>5,6</sup> allowed for the simulation of lupus nephritis patients using the sample descriptive statistics for all covariates evaluated in the original full covariate model. Covariates were assumed to be normally distributed around their reported mean and standard deviation. A random sample was generated using R version 2.11.1. A voclosporin dose strategy was simulated which initiated therapy at 23.7 mg BID increasing 39.5 mg BID after two weeks. Week 1 simulated concentrations are shown below.



## RESULTS

In this pooled population, as VCS trough concentrations increased a small change was observed in systolic and diastolic blood pressure with  $r^2 = 0.0622$  and  $0.061$  respectively. Additionally, increasing drug concentrations resulted in a decrease in eGFR, with  $r^2 = 0.0448$ . To understand the impact in an ongoing global study in active LN (AURA-LV study) with fixed dosing concentrations of 23.7 and 39.5 mg BID, these data were then used to predict the mean change in BP and eGFR. Analysis revealed that the dose of 23.7 mg BID is predicted to produce a mean rise of 4.2 mmHg in systolic blood pressure, a 2.6 mmHg rise in diastolic blood pressure and a 3.4 mL/min decrease in eGFR.



## CONCLUSIONS

Previously reported studies of VCS have demonstrated decreased incidence of diabetes compared to tacrolimus. This predictive analysis in LN demonstrates the modest impact of VCS on systolic and/or diastolic blood pressure, with predicted effective immunosuppression. Given this data, a fixed dose regimen in LN without therapeutic drug monitoring may provide significant benefits in terms of ease of use. The AURA-LV study is ongoing in active lupus nephritis to validate this hypothesis.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS AND REFERENCES

Thanks go to Drs. Patrick R. Mayo and Spencer Y. Ling of Aurinia who completed the analyses.

1. Busque S., Cantarovich M., Mulgaonkar S., et al. The PROMISE Study: A Phase 2b Multicenter Study of Voclosporin (ISA247) Versus Tacrolimus in De Novo Kidney Transplantation. *American Journal of Transplantation* 2011 11(12): 2675-2684.
2. Ling, S. Y., Huizinga, R. B., Mayo, P. R., et al. Pharmacokinetics of Voclosporin in Renal Impairment and Hepatic Impairment. *Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 2013 53(12), 1303-1312. doi:10.1002/jcph.166
3. Mayo, P. R., Huizinga, R. B., Ling, S. Y., et al. Voclosporin food effect and single oral ascending dose pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic studies in healthy human subjects. *Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 2013 53(8), 819-26. doi:10.1002/jcph.114
4. Mayo, P., Ling, S., Huizinga, R., et al. Population PKPD of Voclosporin in Renal Allograft Patients. *Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 2014 54(5), 537-545.
5. Appel GB, Contreras G, Dooley MA, et al. Mycophenolate mofetil versus cyclophosphamide for induction treatment of lupus nephritis. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2009;20:1103-1112.
6. Dooley MA, Jayne D, Ginzler EM, Isenberg D, Olsen NJ, Wofsy D, Eitner F, Appel GB, Contreras G, Lisk L, et al. Mycophenolate versus azathioprine as maintenance therapy for lupus nephritis. *N Engl J Med*. 2011 Nov 17; 365(20):1886-95.

