



# Fracture Incidence and Post Fracture Mortality in English and New York State Kidney Transplant Recipients: A Population Level Comparative Study.

# Julia Arnold<sup>1</sup>, Jemma Mytton<sup>2</sup>, Felicity Evison<sup>2</sup>, Adnan Sharif<sup>1</sup>, Charles Ferro<sup>1.</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, Department of Renal Medicine, Birmingham, United Kingdom. <sup>2</sup>University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, Department of Health Informatics, Birmingham, United Kingdom.

## INTRODUCTION

METHODS

We have previously shown that English kidney transplant recipients (KTRs) appear to have a lower incidence of fractures post transplantation compared to historical United States (US) kidney transplant recipients. We have also shown that English kidney transplant recipients have a high mortality rate post fracture. To date, no study has compared fracture incidence and mortality post fracture in contemporaneous English and US kidney transplant recipients.

We used the Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) and Office of National Statistics (ONS) databases to obtain data on English KTRs and the Statewide Planning and Research Cooperative System (SPARCS) data reporting system to obtain data on New York State (NYS) KTRs.

# RESULTS

		England		New York State		<b>P</b> value		
			(n=18,493)		(n=11,602)			
Age (years)			47.61		50.88		<0.001	
Women		7123 (38.52%)		4569	(39.38%)	0.136		
Ethnicity	White		14508 (	(78.45%)	6202	(53.46%)	<0.001	
	Black		1215 (6.57%)		2660	(22.93%)		
	Other		2770 (14.98%)		2740	(23.62%)		
Co-	Ayocardial infarction		1148 (6.21%)		1375	(11.85%)	<0.001	
morbidities	Cerebrovascular disease		803 (4.35%)		859	(7.40%)	<0.001	
	Congestive heart failure		924 (5.00%)		2587	(22.30%)	<0.001	
	<sup>&gt;</sup> eripheral vascular disease		1020 (	(5.52%)	920	(7.93%)	<0.001	
	iabetes mellitus		2781 (	15.04%)	4462	(38.46%)	<0.001	
	ver disease		86 (	0.47%)	213	(1.84%)	<0.001	
	ulmonary disease		2170 (	11.73%)	68	(0.59%)	<0.001	
	ancer		696 (3.76%)		452	(3.90%)	0.559	
Previous fracture		566 (3.06%)		286	(2.47%)	0.002		
Table 2. Co	x regression of	variables	s associate	d with cur	nulative inc	idence of all	fractures.	
Variable		11	England			New IOIR Sla		
		Haza (95% Co Inte	rd Ratio onfidence ervals)	P value	Haza (95% C Inte	ard Ratio Confidence ervals)	<i>P</i> value	
Age	18 to 49	Haza (95% Co Inte 1 (base	rd Ratio onfidence ervals) line group)	P value	Haza (95% C Inte 1 (base	eline group)	P value	
Age	18 to 49 50 to 69	Haza (95% Co Inte 1 (base 1.46(1	rd Ratio onfidence ervals) line group) .21-1.75)	<i>P</i> value - <0.001	Haza (95% C Inte 1 (base 1.36(*	ard Ratio Confidence ervals) eline group) 1.06-1.76)	<i>P</i> value - 0.016	
Age	18 to 49         50 to 69         70 and over	Haza (95% Co Inte 1 (base 1.46(1 2.51(1	rd Ratio onfidence ervals) line group) .21-1.75) .71-3.70)	P value - <0.001 <0.001	Haza (95% C Inte 1 (base 1.36(* 2.07(*	ard Ratio Confidence ervals) eline group) 1.06-1.76) 1.43-3.00)	P value           -           0.016           <0.001	
Age Gender	18 to 49         50 to 69         70 and over         Male	Haza (95% Co Inte 1 (base 2.51(1 1 (base	rd Ratio onfidence ervals) line group) .21-1.75) .71-3.70)	P value - <0.001 <-	Haza (95% C Intel 1 (base 2.07(* 1 (base	eline group) eline group)	P value           -           0.016           <0.001	
Gender	18 to 49 50 to 69 70 and over Male Female	Haza (95% Co Inte 1 (base 2.51(1 1 (base 1.47 (1	rd Ratio onfidence rvals) line group) .21-1.75) .71-3.70) line group) .23-1.75)	P value </td <td>Haza (95% C Intel 1 (base 2.07(* 1 (base 1.27 (*</td> <td>eline group) eline group) 1.06-1.76) 1.43-3.00) eline group)</td> <td>P value         -         0.016         &lt;0.001</td> -         0.0038	Haza (95% C Intel 1 (base 2.07(* 1 (base 1.27 (*	eline group) eline group) 1.06-1.76) 1.43-3.00) eline group)	P value         -         0.016         <0.001	
Age Gender Ethnicity	18 to 49 50 to 69 70 and over Male Female White	Haza (95% Co Inte 1 (base 2.51(1 1 (base 1.47 (1 1 (base	rd Ratio onfidence rvals) line group) .21-1.75) .71-3.70) line group) .23-1.75)	<ul> <li>P value</li> <li>-</li> <li>&lt;0.001</li> <li>&lt;0.001</li> <li>&lt;0.001</li> <li></li> </ul>	Haza (95% C Intel 1 (base 2.07(* 1 (base 1.27 (* 1 (base	ard Ratio Confidence ervals) eline group) 1.06-1.76) 1.43-3.00) eline group) 1.01-1.59)	P value         -         0.016         <0.001	
Age Gender Ethnicity	18 to 49 50 to 69 70 and over Male Female White Black	Haza (95% Co Inte 1 (base 1.46(1 2.51(1 1 (base 1.47 (1 1 (base 1 (base) 0.58 (0	rd Ratio onfidence rvals) line group) .21-1.75) .71-3.70) line group) .23-1.75)	P value         -         <0.001	Haza (95% C Intel 1 (base 1.36(* 2.07(* 1 (base 1.27 (* 1 (base 0.63 (*	ard Ratio Confidence ervals) eline group) 1.06-1.76) 1.43-3.00) eline group) 1.01-1.59) eline group)	P value         -         0.016         <0.001	
Age Gender Ethnicity	18 to 49 50 to 69 70 and over Male Female Vhite Black Other	Haza (95% Co Inte 1 (base 1.46(1 2.51(1 1 (base 1.47 (1 1 (base 0.58 (0 0.64 (0	rd Ratio onfidence rvals) line group) .21-1.75) .71-3.70) line group) .23-1.75) .37-0.91)	P value         -         -         <0.001	Haza (95% C Internet 1 (base 1.36(* 2.07(* 1 (base 0.63 (*	ard Ratio Confidence ervals) eline group) 1.06-1.76) 1.43-3.00) eline group) 1.01-1.59) eline group) 0.47-0.85) 0.50-0.91)	P value         -         0.016         <0.001	
Age Gender Ethnicity Pre-tran	18 to 49 18 to 49 50 to 69 70 and over Male Female Black Black black	Haza (95% Ca Inte 1 (base 1.46(1 2.51(1 1 (base 1.47 (1 1 (base) 0.58 (0 0.64 (0	rd Ratio onfidence rvals) line group) .21-1.75) .71-3.70) line group) .23-1.75) line group) 0.37-0.91)	P value         -         -         <0.001	Haza (95% C Intel 1 (base 1.36(* 2.07(* 1 (base 1.27 (* 1 (base 0.63 (*	ard Ratio Confidence ervals) eline group) 1.06-1.76) 1.43-3.00) eline group) 1.01-1.59) eline group) 0.47-0.85) 0.50-0.91)	P value         -         0.016         <0.0016	
Age Gender Ethnicity Pre-tran diabete	A result of the second seco	Haza (95% Ca Inte 1 (base 1.46(1 2.51(1 1 (base 1.47 (1 1 (base 0.58 (0 0.64 (0 2.16 (1	rd Ratio onfidence rvals) line group) .21-1.75) .71-3.70) .23-1.75) line group) .37-0.91) .37-0.91) .48-0.85)	P value         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         0.0019         0.003         -	Haza (95% C Intel 1 (base 1.36(* 2.07(* 1 (base 1.27 (* 1 (base 0.63 (* 0.67 (*	ard Ratio Confidence ervals) eline group) 1.06-1.76) 1.43-3.00) 1.01-1.59) 1.01-1.59) 0.47-0.85) 0.50-0.91) 1.54-2.46)	P value         -         0.016         <0.0016	
Age Gender Ethnicity Pre-tran diabete Previou	18 to 49 50 to 69 70 and over 70 and over 70 and over 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Haza (95% Ca Inte 1 (base 1.46(1 2.51(1 1 (base 1.47 (1 1 (base 0.58 (0 0.64 (0 2.16 (1	rd Ratio onfidence rvals) line group) .21-1.75) .71-3.70) line group) .23-1.75) line group) .37-0.91) .37-0.91) .37-0.91) .37-0.91)	P value         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         0.001         -         0.003         -         -         0.003         -         -         -         0.003         - </td <td>Haza (95% C Inte 1 (base 1.36(* 2.07(* 1 (base 1.27 (* 1 (base 0.63 (* 1.95 (*</td> <td>ard Ratio Confidence ervals) eline group) 1.06-1.76) 1.43-3.00) eline group) 1.01-1.59) eline group) 0.47-0.85) 0.50-0.91) 1.54-2.46)</td> <td>P value         -         0.016         &lt;0.001</td> <0.0038	Haza (95% C Inte 1 (base 1.36(* 2.07(* 1 (base 1.27 (* 1 (base 0.63 (* 1.95 (*	ard Ratio Confidence ervals) eline group) 1.06-1.76) 1.43-3.00) eline group) 1.01-1.59) eline group) 0.47-0.85) 0.50-0.91) 1.54-2.46)	P value         -         0.016         <0.001	

We studied adult KTRs in England and NYS who received a kidney transplant from January 2003 to December 2013. Data was censored in December 2014, giving 90,655 patient-years of follow up for the English cohort and 67,743 patient-years of follow up for the NYS cohort.

### All fracture risk

In total 637 (3.44%) English KTRs sustained any fracture and 398 (3.43%) NYS KTRs sustained any fracture during the follow-up period. The unadjusted event rate for any fracture was 7.0 per 1000 patient-years for the English cohort and 5.9 per 1000 patient-years for the NYS cohort (P=0.948). The commonest sites of fracture in both cohorts were femur, tibia/ fibula and foot/ ankle. Within 1 year of sustaining any fracture 65 (10.20%) English KTRs and 43 (10.94%) NYS KTRs had died (P=0.451).

#### Hip fracture risk

In total 147 (0.79%) English and 101 (0.87%) NYS KTRs sustained a hip fracture during the study period (P=0.480) giving a crude all fracture incidence rate of 1.62 per 1000 patient-years and 1.49 per 1000 patient-years respectively. Within 1 year of sustaining a hip fracture 22 (14.97%) English KTRs and 17 (16.83%) NYS KTRs had died (P=0.692).

## CONCLUSIONS

Contemporaneous English and NYS kidney transplant recipients have very similar total fracture and hip fracture rates. One year mortality after any fracture, especially after hip fracture, remains very high in both cohorts.

