

IMPACT OF PRE-TRANSPLANT HISTOLOGICAL SCORE ON FIVE YEARS GRAFT OUTCOMES OF

KIDNEYS FROM MARGINAL DONORS: A SINGLE-CENTRE STUDY

Paolo Carta¹,Elisa Buti¹, Giulia Antognoli¹, Fani Filippo¹, Lorenzo Di Maria¹, Maria Rosaria Raspollini², Enrico Minetti¹

¹Nephrology Unit, Careggi University Hospital, Florence, Italy ²Oncological histopathology and molecular diagnostic Unit, Careggi University Hospital, Florence, Italy

OBJECTIVES

Renal biopsy is commonly used in assessing a potential non-living donor to decide if marginal kidneys must be allocated as single double or transplant. However it is not clear whether the histological evaluation is really predictive of the outcome of the graft or pathologic remains just a parameter. In our allocation system kidneys with a Karpinski's histological score of 4 or 5 can be allocated as single transplant if donor renal function is optimal and no other risk factor is present. The aim of this study is to compare the outcomes among patients who received a single kidney graft from a marginal donor with optimal renal function but with different histological scores

METHODS

We compared retrospectively 5 years graft survival and renal function in 44 recipients of a single kidney graft from a marginal donor (older than 60 years) with good renal function and a Karpinski histological score of ≤3 and 56 recipients of a single transplant with a Karpinski score of 4 or 5. The donors' and recipients' characteristics were compared by means of Wilcoxon's rank-sum test and Fisher's exact test, and survival was analyzed using the log-rank test and Cox regression survival analysis.

RESULTS

The donors with the worse histological scores were slightly younger $(68.0\pm4.74 \text{ vs } 71.3\pm4.6 \text{ years}, \text{ p} < 0.01)$ and had a higher glomerular filtration rate $(85.8\pm28.2 \text{ vs } 76.3\pm26.53 \text{ mL/min}, \text{ p} = 0.013)$, but there was no difference in serum creatinine levels $(0.83\pm0.24 \text{ vs } 0.85\pm0.30 \text{ mg/dL}, \text{ p} = 0.381)$. Five years after transplantation, there was no difference between the two groups in terms of recipient serum creatinine levels $(1.8\pm0.5 \text{ vs } 1.9\pm0.6 \text{ mg/dL}, \text{ p} = 0.5)$, creatinine clearance $(53\pm23 \text{ vs } 49\pm27.0 \text{ mL/min}, \text{ p} = 0.6)$ or the rates of graft loss (35%Vs29% vs p = 0.5).

	Group A	Group B	P value
Donor male sex	(43.2%)	(48.2%)	0.68
Donor age (years) Median	71.72 ± 4.59 71.5	68.03 ± 4.73 68	<0.01
Donor creatinine (mg/dl) Median	0.85 ± 0.30 0.82	0.83± 0.24 0.8	0.381
Donor eGFR (ml/min) Median	76.39± 26,53 70.83	85.8 ± 28.2 83.35	0.013
Donor kidney longitudinal dimension by ultrasound (mm)	107.54 ± 9.4 110	108 ± 8.0	0.893
Median Donor hypertension	31.8%	50%	0.10

Donors'	baselines	characteristics
Donors'	baselines	characteristics

	Group A	Group B	P value
Recipient age (years) Median	60.18 ± 6.09	60.33 ± 6.07	1.0
	59	59	
Male sex	32 (72.7%)	40 (73.2%)	1.0
Cold ischemia time (hours)	18.0 ± 7.2	19.6 ± 6.5	0.17
median	19	22	
HLA Mismatch (median-quartiles)	4 (3-4)	4 (3-4.75)	0.263

Recipients' baselines characteristics

	Group A	Group B	P value
Delayed Graft	25 (58.1%)	22 (39.3%)	0.07
Funcion			
Acute rejection	8 (18.2%)	8 (14.3%)	0.78
Serum creatinine	1.8±0.5	1.9±0.6	0,5
(mg/dl)			
Creatinine	53 ±23	49±27.0	0,6
clearance			
(ml/min)			
Graft loss	35%	29%	0,6

Results after 5 years of transplantation

CONCLUSIONS

In our experience, provided the donor has normal renal function, a difference in the pre-transplant histological score of kidneys from marginal cadaveric donors does not have a significant influence on outcome five years after transplantation

REFERENCES:

Randhawa P., Role of donor kidney biopsies in renal transplantation.

<u>Transplantation.</u> 2001 May

Remuzzi G, JOSEP GRINYÒ et al.

Early experience with dual kidney transplantation in adults using expanded don orcriteria. Double Kidney Transplant Group (DKG). <u>J Am Soc Nephrol.</u> 1999 Dec;10(12):2591-8..

Navarro MD, López-Andréu M, Rodríguez-Benot A, Ortega-Salas R, Morales ML, López-Rubio F, García PA.

Significance of preimplantation analysis of kidney biopsies from expanded crite riadonors in long-term outcome. <u>Transplantation</u>. 2011 Feb 27;91(4):432-9. Julio Pascual, MD, Javier Zamora, PhD, and John D. Pirsch, MD A Systematic Review of Kidney Transplantation From Expanded

Criteria Donors. American Journal of Kidney Diseases, Vol 52, No 3 (September), 2008: pp 553-586

Muruve NA, Steinbecker KM, Luger AM. Are wedge biopsies of cadaveric kidneys obtained at procurement reliable?

of cadaveric kidneys obtained at procurement reliable?

<u>Transplantation.</u> 2000 Jun 15;69(11):2384-8.

Amr El-Husseini , Alaa Sabry , Ahmed Zahran , Ahmed Shoker . Can Donor Implantation Renal Biopsy Predict Long-Term Renal

Allograft Outcome? Am J Nephrol 2007;27:144–151

<u>Karpinski J, Lajoie G, Cattran D, Fenton S, Zaltzman J, Cardella C, Cole E.</u>

Outcome of kidney transplantation from high-risk donors is determined by both

structure and function.

Transplantation. 1999 Apr 27;67(8):1162-7.

Giuseppe Remuzzi M.D. Paolo Cravedi et al Long-Term Outcome of Renal

Giuseppe Remuzzi, M.D., Paolo Cravedi et al Long-Term Outcome of Renal Transplantation from Older Donors N Engl J Med 2006;354:343-52



ePosters supported by F. Hoffmann- l Roche Ltd.





