FAVOURABLE LONG TERM OUTCOMES OF KIDNEY TRANSPLANTATION FROM SELECTED DONORS OLDER THAN 80 YEARS

Davide Diena¹, Maria Messina¹, Gabriella Guzzo¹, Sergio Dellepiane¹, Gianna Mazzucco², Giuseppe Paolo Segoloni¹, Fabrizio Fop¹, Luigi Biancone¹

- ¹Transplant Center "A. Vercellone", Division of Nephrology Dialysis and Transplantation, Department of Medical Sciences, University of Turin Turin, ITALY.
- ² Division of Pathology Transplantation, Department of Medical Sciences, University of Turin, Turin, ITALY.
- D.D., M. M., and G.G. Equally contributed to work







METHODS

We retrospectively collected data from Kidney Transplantations (KT) performed at the Kidney Transplantation Center of Turin University (Italy) between 2003 and 2013, from deceased donors older than 60 years. We excluded from our analysis combined KT. Allocation in single or dual transplantation (SKT and DKT) was performed by an algorithm that combines GFR, age and preimplantation biopsy. For histology, Karpinsky score was adopted: score 4 organs were allocated in DKT up to 2006 according to Remuzzi's data⁴ and after 2006 in SKT on the basis of our previous experience (unpublished data). KT were classified in 3 groups according to donor age (Group A: 60-69 years, B: 70-79 years and C: ≥ 80 years). Main outcome variables were patient and graft survival; secondary outcomes were incidence of delayed graft function, acute rejection, post-transplant complications. Additionally the organ discard rate and its causes were estimated for each donor group.

OBJECTIVES

In the last decades, expanded criteriadonors (ECDs) significantly enlarged the pool of available organs. The widening gap recipients between organ and prompted the use of organs from extremely old ECDs¹, but the debate about the correct allocation and long term outcomes of these open.^{2,3} grafts still

Tab. 1											
	All	Donor 60-	Donor 70-79	Donors ≥	P value		All	Donor 60-69	Donor 70-79	Donors ≥ 80	P value
	patients	69 yrs	yrs	80 yrs	1 varue		patients	yrs	yrs	yrs	
2A - Donor characteristics M/F (%) 43 5/56 5 40 9/59 1 45 3/54 7 51 9/48 1 0 401						Primary non	2,7	2,6	2,3	7,4	P=0,287
M/F (%)	43,5/56,5	40,9/59,1	45,3/54,7	51,9/48,1	0,401	function (%)	,		,		
eGFR CKD- EPI(ml/min)	81,79 ± 22,84	83,92 ± 23,59	80,40 ± 22,12	73,81 ± 19,88	0,011	Delayed graft function (%)	32,1	31,9	30,9	46,2	P=0,284
Hypertension (%)	68,3	68,6	67,7	70,8	0,941	Ischemic Cardiopathy (%)	7,2	7,7	7,0	4,0	P=0,786
Diabetes mellitus (%)	10,1	13	7,5	9,1	0,141	Urinary fistula (%)	6,5	7,3	5,5	8,0	P=0,684
Cerebro vascular	82,2	83,5	80,3	88,5	0,455	Uretheral stenosis (%)	9,4	9,2	10,6	0	P=0,222
death (%)		_		00,5	0,133	Vascular fistula (%)	6,9	7,3	7,1	0	P=0,379
2B - Recipient cha				66 5 5 5	0.004	Renal Artery					
M/F (%) Mean age	63,8/36,2 60,28 ±	59,1/40,9	68,3/31,7	66,7/33,3 62,48 ±	0,084	stenosis (%)	13,1	10,0	15,2	24,0	P=0,056
(Yrs) ± SD	9,04	57,56 ± 9,42	62,77 ± 8,00	7,41	0,0001	NODAT (%)	28	28,3	28,5	22,2	P=0,794
1st Tx/ More than 1 Tx	(89,9/10,	232/32 (87,9/12,1)	242/23 (91,3/8,7)	26/1 (96,3/3,7)	0,611	CMV reactivation (%)	29,1%	31,8	28,3	11,1	P=0,015
(%) Dialysis	1)					Acute rejection (%)	17	17,6	15,8	23,1	P=0,532
before Tx (Yrs) ± SD	5,66 ± 6,26	5,73 ± 6,37	5,63 ± 6,31	5,34 ± 4,62	0,513	Malignancies (%)	21,2	21,6	21,1	18,5	P=0,93
Pre - Tx DM 1 or 2 (%)	14,6	12,6	16,6	12,8	0,432	Fig. 2	ent	1,0	Graft	Graft (de	eath censored)
Pre - Tx HCV POS	9,1	9,8	7,5	12	0,689	60-69yrs		0.6-	The same of the sa	0.6-	
2C - Transplant baseline characteristics											
HLA A/B/DR mismatch	3,05 ± 0,97	2,94 ± 1,04	3,16 ± 0,88	3,30 ± 0,82	0,061	Onoo 0.2-	p=0,978	0,2-	p=0,579	0.0-	p=0,748
Mean PRA (CDC) at Tx (%)	2,68 ± 11,38	2,71 ± 10,38	1,11 ± 11,69	3,86 ± 16,84	0,188	I Rate syrs		10 Page		1.0-	on the second
CIT (hours) ± SD	18,36 ± 4,79	18,32 ± 4,94	18,17 ± 4,73	18,97 ± 3,84	0,349	ative Surviva nor Age 70-79	0,6-		and	0,6-	
Fig. 1 Patient survival		Graft survival		Death censored graft survival		Cumul	p=0,764	0,2- 0,0- 0,0- 2,00- 4,	p=0,695	0.0-	p=0,745
Commostive Survival Rate 0.9 0.9 0.7 0.8 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7		1,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0		p=0,876		Donor Age >80yrs	p=0,052	0.8- 0.8- 0.8- 0.2- 0.00 2.00 4	p=0,015	0,8- 0,8- 0,8- 0,0- 0,0-	p=0,193
ye	ars		years Donor age classes				rs	years years Organ allocation modality			
									DKT DKT		

RESULTS

- Data of 556 KT were obtained (group A n=264, B n=265, C n=27): 516 SKT and 40 DKT.
- Main donors and recipient characteristics were comparable between the three considered groups as shown in Tab. 1.
- No intragroups differences were observed for patient, graft survival (10 year patient survival: A 78,0 %; B 70,7 %; C 75,6% p= 0,657; 10 year graft survival: A 52,7%; B 50,9%; C 47,6% p= 0,843). Fig 1. Furthermore, no difference was noted in terms of renal function and main post-KT complications between recipients of older and younger ECD. Tab. 2
- SKT from donors older than 80 had significantly lower graft survival than DKT from the same donor class (59 vs 87% at 5 years, p=0,015); conversely no difference was found in the other groups. Fig. 2
- As expected, discard rate was widely superior for octogenarians' kidneys (48,2 % in group C vs. 21,9 % in group B and 18,2 % in Group A).

CONCLUSIONS

- Kidney transplants from highly selected very old (≥ 80 yrs) donors display similar longterm function and survival compared to other ECD age groups and in this subset DKT ensure better graft survival when compared to SKT from the same donor decades
- Kidney transplant from 60-69 yrs and 70-79 yrs have similar long-term outcome either as STK or DKT in the absence of an increase of the discard rate with the adopted local allocation system.
- As espected, discard rate of octogenarian kidneys is widely superior (either for histology and macroscopical defects). Nevertheless, more than a half of such organs are eventually trasnplanted, when harvested. Therefore they represent an additional resource for transplantation programs and should not be excluded a priori.

REFERENCES:

- Gallinat et al. Single-center experience with kidney transplantation using deceased donors older than 75 Transplantation. years. 2011;92(1):76-81.
- Fernández-Lorente et al. Long-term results of biopsy-guided selection and allocation of kidneys from older donors in older recipients. Am J Transplant 2012;12(10):2781-2788.
- Denecke et al. Optimizing clinical utilization and allocation of older kidneys: Curr Opin Organ Transplant 2015;20(4):431-437.4
- Remuzzi et al. Long-Term Outcome of Renal Transplantation from Older Donors. N Engl J Med. 2006;354(4):343-352.

Davide Diena





