Abdominal Aortic Calcification Score on Plain Radiograph as a Predictor of Coronary Artery Calcification Score on Computed Tomography and T Score on BMD in Dialysis Patients

Su Mi Lee, Yong Ki Son, Seong Eun Kim, Won Suk An Internal Medicine, Dong-A University, Busan, Repulbic of Korea

Introduction & Aims

- Vascular calcification (VC) is commonly found and associated with cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in dialysis patients
- Not only coronary artery calcification scores (CACS) on computed tomography (CT) but also several VC scores on plain radiographs can predict cardiovascular events
- However, there is no study about the correlation between CACS on CT and VC scores of several sites on plain radiographs
- We evaluated which VC scores among several VC scores on plain radiographs are a predictor of CACS on CT in dialysis patients
- In addition, we investigated the association between VC scores and bone mineral density (BMD)

Methods

- Study design
- Cross-sectional study, single Dong-A University Dialysis Center
- From March 2013 to September 2014
- **♦** Subjects
- Patients who were receiving hemodialysis (HD) or peritoneal dialysis (PD)
- Measurements
- VC through the plain radiographs of the feet, hands and pelvis, and lateral lumbar spine
- Significant VC was any one finding among the following findings on plain radiographs:
 - ✓ score of abdominal aortic calcification (AAC) ≥5
 - ✓ score of the hands and pelvis ≥3
 - ✓ presence of medial artery calcification on the feet
- CACS by CT
 - ✓ Severe CACS was defined as CACS >1000
- BMD by DEXA (radius, lumbar, femoral)
- Fibroblast growth factor 23 (FGF-23), fetuin-A, osteoprotegerin (OPG), receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B ligand (RANKL), testosterone (male) were analyzed with ELISA
- Inclusion criteria
- Male or female patients aged over 20 years
- ESRD patients on dialysis for at least 6 month
- Exclusion criteria
- Who underwent 2 or more weeks of hospitalization due to episode of acute infection or cardiovascular disease within 4 month before study initiation
- Who have changed the dialysis modality
- Kidney transplantation
- Pregnancy

Results

- ◆ The mean age of the enrolled patients was 58.6±10.2 years and 38 patients undergoing HD were identified (62.3%). Dialysis vintage was 50.2±36.8 months. Of the 61 patients, prevalence rate of significant VC and severe CACS was 75.4% and 26.2% (Table 1).
- ◆ Patients treated with PD had higher OPG to RANKL ratio (P=0.040) and fetuin-A levels (P=0.046), and lower FGF-23 levels (P=0.013) than those with HD (Table 1).
- Patients with severe CACS had higher OPG levels (P=0.019) and significant VC (P=0.008) than those with none to moderate CACS (Table 2).
- ◆ Patients with AAC score ≥5 had lower T score of both wrist and hip than patients with AAC score <5 (Table 3).</p>
- ◆ CACS is positively correlated with age (r=0.329, P=0.014), AAC score (r=0.543, P <0.001), VC score of the hands and pelvis (r=0.548, p <0.001), medial artery calcification of the feet (r=0.268, P=0.048), and OPG (r=0.383, P=0.011). AAC score is negatively correlated with T score of wrist (right: r=-0.254, P=0.050 and left: r=-0.285, P=0.027) on BMD.
- ◆ AAC score (RR 1.190 [95% CI 1.047-1.352], P=0.008) on plain radiographs was independently associated with severe CACS on CT after adjustment variable factors, including age, gender, and dialysis modality (Table 4).

Table 1. Comparison of clinical characteristics in accordance with dialysis modality

| Characteristics | Total (n = 61) | HD (n = 38) | PD (n = 23) | P value |
|---|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| Age (years) | 58.6±10.2 | 58.3±10.2 | 59.2±10.5 | 0.754 |
| Male, n (%) | 26 (42.6) | 19 (50.0) | 7 (30.4) | 0.134 |
| Duration (months), n (%) | 50.2±36.8 | 55.1±40.3 | 41.9±29.1 | 0.176 |
| DM, n (%) | 34 (55.7) | 17 (44.7) | 17 (73.9) | 0.026 |
| Calcification scores on plain radiograph, n (%) | | | | |
| Abdominal aorta | 6.0±6.5 | 7.5±7.3 | 3.7±3.9 | 0.011 |
| Abdominal aorta ≥5, n (%) | 32 (52.5) | 23 (62.2) | 9 (39.1) | 0.082 |
| Hands and pelvis | 2.9±2.6 | 2.4±2.5 | 3.7±2.6 | 0.042 |
| Hands and pelvis ≥3, n (%) | 32 (52.5) | 16 (43.2) | 16 (69.6) | 0.047 |
| Feet | 0.8±0.9 | 0.7±0.8 | 1.0±0.9 | 0.157 |
| Feet ≥1, n (%) | 31 (50.8) | 17 (45.9) | 14 (60.9) | 0.261 |
| Significant VC | 46 (75.4) | 27 (73.0) | 19 (82.6) | 0.391 |
| Severe CACS | 16 (26.2) | 10 (28.6) | 6 (30.0) | 0.911 |
| Testosterone | 3.6±1.5 | 2.9±1.1 | 4.0±1.5 | 0.061 |
| FGF-23 (pg/mL) | 1758.5±143.8 | 2269.7±1416.8 | 1126.9±1218.5 | 0.013 |
| Fetuin-A (μg/mL) | 211.8±51.7 | 196.9±45.4 | 227.4±54.2 | 0.046 |
| RANKL | 317.5±305.7 | 390.0±393.1 | 245.0±62.0 | 0.135 |
| OPG | 22.8±8.8 | 21.3±8.1 | 24.4±9.3 | 0.241 |
| OPG/RANKL | 0.11±0.08 | 0.09±0.07 | 0.14±0.09 | 0.040 |

Table 2. Comparison of clinical characteristics in accordance with coronary artery calcification scores on CT

| Characteristics | None to Moderate | Severe CACS | Dyalua |
|---|------------------|-------------|---------|
| | CACS (n = 39) | (n = 16) | P value |
| Age (years) | 56.2±11.0 | 65.3±6.4 | 0.016 |
| Male, n (%) | 17 (43.6) | 7 (43.8) | 0.991 |
| HD (vs. PD), n (%) | 25 (64.1) | 10 (62.5) | 0.911 |
| DM, n (%) | 18 (46.2) | 11 (68.8) | 0.127 |
| Calcification scores on plain radiograph, | n (%) | | |
| Abdominal aorta | 4.1±5.5 | 11.0±7.0 | < 0.001 |
| Abdominal aorta ≥5, n (%) | 13 (33.3) | 15 (93.8) | < 0.001 |
| Hands and pelvis | 2.0±2.3 | 4.8±.2 | < 0.001 |
| Hands and pelvis ≥3, n (%) | 16 (41.0) | 13 (81.3) | 0.007 |
| Feet | 0.7±0.8 | 1.1±0.9 | 0.075 |
| Feet ≥1, n (%) | 17 (43.6) | 11 (68.8) | 0.090 |
| Significant VC | 26 (66.7) | 16 (100.0) | 0.008 |
| RANKL | 349.9±345.1 | 255.4±89.0 | 0.419 |
| OPG | 21.0±7.8 | 27.9±9.0 | 0.019 |
| OPG/RANKL | 0.10±0.08 | 0.14±0.07 | 0.188 |

Table 3. Association between abdominal aorta calcification (AAC) scores and T-scores on BMD

| T score on BMD | AAC <5 (n = 28) | AAC ≥5 (n = 32) | P value |
|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Forearm | | | |
| Right | -2.3±1.2 | -3.3±1.4 | 0.004 |
| Left | -2.2±1.2 | -3.2±1.4 | 0.006 |
| Total hip | | | |
| Right | -1.3±0.9 | -2.1±1.1 | 0.010 |
| Left | -1.4±0.9 | -2.1±0.9 | 0.011 |
| Femur neck | | | |
| Right | -0.7±0.9 | -1.3±1.1 | 0.020 |
| Left | -0.8±0.8 | -1.2±0.9 | 0.041 |
| L-spine | | | |
| L1 | -0.2±1.5 | -0.7±2.1 | 0.272 |
| L2 | -0.1±1.8 | -0.6±2.2 | 0.485 |
| L3 | -0.4±1.6 | -0.9±1.7 | 0.311 |
| L4 | -0.8±1.6 | -1.5±1.6 | 0.147 |

Table 4. Independent factors associated with degree of coronary artery calcification scores (CACS) on CT

| Characteristics | Severe CACS on CT | | |
|---|----------------------|---------|--|
| | RR* (95% CI) | P value | |
| Age (years) | 1.045 (0.964-1.133) | 0.283 | |
| Male, n (%) | 1.068 (0.267-4.272) | 0.926 | |
| HD (vs. PD), n (%) | 2.537 (0.524-12.293) | 0.248 | |
| Calcification scores on plain radiograph, n (%) | | | |
| Abdominal aortic calcification | 1.190 (1.047-1.352) | 0.008 | |

^{*}Clinical parameters (age, gender, dialysis modality) were examined with severe CACS.

Conclusions

◆AAC score among several VC scores on plain radiographs is the most reliable predictor of CACS on CT and T score on BMD in dialysis patients.







