

# SHORT TERM VARIABILITY OF AMBULATORY BLOOD PRESSURE DOES NOT PREDICT CARDIOVASCULAR OUTCOME IN NON-DIALYSIS CKD PATIENTS

Roberto Minutolo<sup>1</sup>, Giovanna Stanzione<sup>1</sup>, Giovanni Tripepi<sup>2</sup>, Graziella D'Arrigo<sup>2</sup>, Silvio Borrelli<sup>1</sup>, Carlo Garofalo<sup>1</sup>, Michele Provenzano<sup>1</sup>, Francesca Mallamaci<sup>2</sup>, Giuseppe Conte<sup>1</sup>, Carmine Zoccali<sup>2</sup>, Luca De Nicola<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Nephrology, Second University of Naples, Naples, <sup>2</sup>CNR-IBIM, Clinical Epidemiology and Physiopathology of Renal Diseases and Hypertension, Reggio Calabria, Italy

## INTRODUCTION

- Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) is considered the gold standard for assessment of hypertension burden in non-dialysis chronic kidney disease (ND-CKD) because of closer correlation with cardiac organ damage and better prediction of adverse outcome than office BP [1].
- In essential hypertension, day-by-day ABPM variability (ABPV) has been recently demonstrated to further improving cardiovascular (CV) risk stratification [2,3]; however, whether this holds true also in patients with CKD remains unknown.
- This multicenter prospective study was aimed at evaluating prognostic role of ABPM variability on CV outcome in ND-CKD.**

## METHODS

**ENROLLMENT PERIOD:** Jan 2001 to Dec 2011

### INCLUSION CRITERIA:

- Consecutive patients with diagnosis of CKD
- Regular nephrology care from ≥6 months
- Available ABPM (Spacelab 90207)

### EXCLUSION CRITERIA:

- Acute kidney injury in the previous 3 mos
- Active malignancy
- Advanced liver disease
- atrial fibrillation;
- Steroid or immunosuppressive therapy
- inadequate ABPM (<20 and <7 day/night recordings).

### ABP MONITORING

ABPM was obtained on a workday and under regular antihypertensive treatment. ABP frequency was every 15 minutes from 7:00 AM to 11:00 PM and every 30 minutes from 11:00 PM to 7:00 AM. Daytime and nighttime periods were derived from the patient's diary

### ABPV EVALUATION:

ABPV was the weighted standard deviation (SD) of 24-hour systolic blood pressure (SBP) (WSD 24hSBP), calculated according to the following formula (4):

$$[(Sd_{\text{daytime}} \times \text{hrs}_{\text{daytime}}) + (SD_{\text{daytime}} \times \text{hrs}_{\text{daytime}})]/24$$

**COMPOSITE ENDPOINT:** CV deaths and non fatal events (myocardial infarction, stroke, congestive heart failure, cardiac or peripheral revascularization, non-traumatic amputations)

## RESULTS

**TABLE 1.** Clinical characteristics of the 444 patients enrolled.

DEMOGRAPHIC AND CLINICAL	
Age (years)	63.1±14.3
Males (%)	57.4
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	29.1±5.3
Smoking (%)	25.7
Diabetes (%)	34.0
History of CVD (%)	28.6
CKD Stage 1-2 (%)	23.2
CKD Stage 3 (%)	49.3
CKD Stage 4 (%)	21.4
CKD Stage 5 (%)	6.1
GFR (mL/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> )	45.0±20.6
Proteinuria (g/day)	0.24 [0.08-0.92]
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	12.9±1.8
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	189±37
BLOOD PRESSURE	
Office SBP/DBP (mmHg)	145±19/81±12
Office BP <140/90 mmHg (%)	32.2
24h SBP/DBP (mmHg)	126±16/72±10
24h BP <130/80 mmHg (%)	51.8
Daytime SBP/DBP (mmHg)	129±17/75±11
Daytime BP <135/85 mmHg (%)	56.8
Nighttime SBP/DBP (mmHg)	120±19/66±11
Nighttime BP <120/70 mmHg (%)	42.8
ANTIHYPERTENSIVE TREATMENT	
Number of drugs	2 [1-3]
RAS inhibitors (%)	79.7
Calcium channel blockers (%)	44.8
Beta-blockers (%)	35.6
Furosemide(%)	29.5

**TABLE 2.** Linear regression analysis estimating factors associated with ABP variability.

Variables	β Coefficient	P
Age (years)	0.061	<0.001
History of CVD (yes vs no)	0.604	0.046
Proteinuria (g/day)	-0.238	0.017
24h SBP (mmHg)	0.064	<0.001
Non-dipping status (yes vs no)	-0.870	0.001
Number of antihypertensives	0.367	<0.001

**Model summary: R<sup>2</sup>=0.362, P<0.001.**

Model adjusted also for gender, BMI, smoking, diabetes, Hb, GFR, Office SBP

**TABLE 3.** Cox regression analysis estimating the risk for CV event associated with ABP variability. Median follow-up: 4.8 years (IQR 2.4-7.6).

	Unadjusted HR [95%CI]	Model 1 HR [95%CI]	Model 2 HR [95%CI]
<b>CV Outcome (n=116)</b>			
ABP Variability (5 mmHg)	<b>2.03 [1.58-2.60]</b>	1.18 [0.88-1.59]	1.06 [0.77-1.46]
24h SBP (5 mmHg)	<b>1.15 [1.09-1.21]</b>	<b>1.08 [1.01-1.15]</b>	<b>1.08 [1.01-1.15]</b>

Model 1 adjusted for age, gender, BMI, smoking, history of CV disease, diabetes, cholesterol, Hb, GFR, proteinuria, office SBP; Model 2 adjusted for model 1 variables + ABP variability and 24hSBP

**Likelihood ratio test confirmed the greater predictive role of the 24hSBP; specifically, adding the 24hSBP to the WSD 24hSBP increased the model fit for the CV endpoint (P=0.033) while the model fit did not improve when the ABP variability was added to the 24h SBP (P=0.81).**

## CONCLUSIONS

**This study provides evidence that 24h SBP is superior to day-by-day ABP variability (WSD 24hSBP) in predicting cardiovascular outcome in ND-CKD. The value of this finding grows when considering that 24h SBP is immediately accessible to clinical nephrologists.**

## REFERENCES:

- Minutolo R, Agarwal R, Borrelli S et al. Prognostic role of ambulatory blood pressure measurement in patient with nondialysis chronic kidney disease. Arch Intern Med. 2011;171(12):1090-8.
- Yi Zhang et al. Effect of antihypertensive agents on blood pressure variability. Hypertension, 2011; 58:155-160.
- Schillaci G et al. Relationship between short-term blood pressure variability and large-artery stiffness in human hypertension: findings from 2 large databases. Hypertension, 2012; 60:369-377.
- Hansen TW et al. Prognostic value of reading-to-reading blood pressure variability over 24 hours in 8938 subjects from 11 populations. Hypertension. 2010;55:1049-1057

