Early vs. late acute antibody mediated rejection among renal transplant recipients in terms of its response to rituximab therapy-single center experience

- Osama Gheith, Al-OtaibiT, Nampoory MRN, Medhat H, Tarek Mahmoud, Prasad Naier, Mohamed Abdul-moneim, Salah
- Al-Waheeb, and Rashad Hassan
- Hamed AI-Essa Organ Transplant Center, Kuwait

OBJECTIVES	METHODS
Acute antibody-mediated rejection (ABMR) is a major cause of early kidney allograft dysfunction.	1200 kidney transplant recipients performed in Hamed Al-Essa Organ Transplant Center of Kuwait over the last 10 years
Recent studies indicate that ARMR is among the most	103 developed ABMR(Banff 2007)

important barriers to improving long-term outcomes.

There are no comparable trials concerning the use of rituximab among renal transplant recipients with ABMR . We aimed to compare early and late acute ABMR among renal transplant recipients in terms of its response to rituximab therapy.

PP(1 volume X 10 sessions) + IVIG(1g/kg divided over 5 days)



RESULTS

S	Demogra
	Demogra

Demographic data of the studied patients

Early ABMR	Late ABMR	Early ABMR	Late ABMR	P value
with	with	without	without	
rituxinab	Rituximab	rituximab	rituximab	
(n=27)	(n=38)	(n=20)	(n=18)	
(n=27)	(n=30)	(n=20)	(n=10)	

E



Demographic data of the studied patients

	Early ABMR with rituximab (n=27)	Late ABMR with Rituximab (n=38)	Early ABMR without rituximab (n=20)	Late ABMR without rituximab (n=18)	Pvalue	
Donor type:						
• Live related	7	15	2	5		
• Live unrelated	12	13	7	8		Pre-transplant
• Deceased	8	10	11	5	<mark>0.1</mark> 7	morbidities:
						 Anemiavs.
						• TB
Early graft function:						Diabetes m
 Immediate graft function 	12	31	10	13		 Osteopenia
 Slow graft function 	8	5	3	4		 Hypertensi
 Delayed graft function 	7	2	7	1	0.016	

Demographic data of the studied patients \tag

	Early ABMR	Late ABMR	Early ABMR	Late ABMR	P value
	with	with	without	without	
	rituximab	Rituximab	rituximeb	rituximab	
	(n=27)	(n=38)	(n=20)	(n=18)	
Patient age(in years)	30.8±13.6	28.3 ±13 .3	33.1±17	36.7 ±1 8	0.07
Patient sex(male/female)	10/ <mark>1</mark> 7	15/ <mark>23</mark>	7/13	979	0.84
Donor ag e(in years)	36.7±8	34±7.8	33.8±12.2	32.5±10.7	0.29
Donor sexțmale/female)	19 /8	26/12	14/7	12 /6	0.96
Original kidney disease					
• Diabelic nephropathy	4	3	4	4	
Hypertension	1	1	0	0	
• Glomerulonephritis	12	9	4	4	
Obstructive	2	5	2	3	
 Idiopathic 	8	20	8	9	0.30
Dialysis type					
• Preemptive	3	10	6	3	
 Hemodialysis 	21	19	12	ш	
• Peritoneal dialysis	2	7	2	4	0.28

Pre-transplant co-					
morbidities:					
• Anemiavs. non-anemic	75%	56.5 %	73.3%	76.9 %	0.48
• TB	18.8 %	0 %	0%	15.4%	0.06
• Diabetes mellitus	18.5 %	13.5 %	3 1.6 %	22.2%	0.44
• Osteopenia	29.5%	27%	35%	3 8.9 %	0.81
• Hypertension	91.7%	86.1%	70 %	75%	0.21

Types of immunosuppression among different study groups

	Early ABMR with rituximab (n=27)	Late ABMR with Rituximab (n=38)	Early ABMR without rituximab (n=20)	Late ABMR without rituximab (n=18)	Pvalue
Immunosuppression					
 Induction 					
o Non	0	5	4	1	
o IL2 R blocker	10	13	2	6	
o Thymoglobulin	16	19	13	10	
o ATG	1	1	1	1	0.21

Types of immunosuppression among different study groups

 (\mathfrak{H})

	Early ABMR with rituximab (n=27)	Late ABMR with Rituximab (n=38)	Early ABMR without rituximab (n=20)	Late ABMR without rituximab (n=18)	P value
munosuppression					
Maintenance					
o cyclosporine based	9	23	8	9	
o Tacrolimus based	16	14	10	8	
o CNI firee	2	1	2	1	0.43



Post-transplant complications among different study groups (after ABMR management)

	Early ABMR	Late ABMR	Early ABMR	Late	P value
	with rituximsb	with Rituximab	without rituximab	ABMR without	
	(n=27)	(n=38)	(n=20)	rituximab	
				(n=18)	
Post-transplant complications:					
-NODAT	3	5	2	4	0.71
-Infections					
CMV	3	2	2	1	0.45
BK viremia	3	8	3	3	0.71
BK nephropathy	1	0	1	0	0.53

Patient and graft outcomes in the studied group

	Early ABMR with rituximab (n=27)	Late ABMR with Rituximab (n=38)	Early ABMR without rituximab (n=20)	Late ABMR without rituximab (n=18)	P value
Graft outcome					
• Functioning graft	24	26	13	9	
• Failed graft	2(8.3%)	11(42.3%)	7(53.8%)	9(50%)	0.024
Last graft function					
(cr.micromol/L)	201	289	316	318	0.08
Patient outcome					
• Living	24	33	17	14	
• Died	0	0	1	0	
 Lost follow up 	2	3	2	4	0.52

CONCLUSIONS

Early ABMR in renal transplant recipients had significantly better outcome when rituximab was added to the standard management.

