Digital subtraction venography using a stepping-gantry technique for venous mapping prior to hemodialysis vascular access creation

Young Ok Kim¹, Su Jin Choi¹, Young Soo Kim¹, Sun Ae Yoon¹, Ji Won Min¹, and Myeong A Cheong²

¹Department of Internal Medicine, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Seoul, Korea

²Department of Internal Medicine, Korea Cancer Center Hospital, Seoul, Korea

Objectives:

Upper extremity venography is one of the methods usually used in venous mapping for further optimization of the surgical strategy. With reduction in dose of contrast media and radiation, preservation of venographic image quality is valuable although a challenge in clinical applications.

We introduce a new bolus tracking venography method using a stepping-gantry technique for venous mapping before hemodialysis vascular access creation.

Methods:

Between January 2012 and October 2012, we analyzed the digital subtraction venography data sets of ten patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD). Digital subtraction venography using a stepping-gantry technique represents a simple modification of the conventional stepping-gantry angiography applicable to the upper extremity. The examinations were reviewed by two radiologists in order to ascertain the opacification quality of the venographic images. We also assessed the amount of the dose of contrast media used as well as the radiation exposure dose during the venography.

Results:

Two radiologists examined the opacification quality, and more than 84% of the analyzed veins of the patients were graded as good regarding visualization of the cephalic vein of the forearm, the cephalic and basilic veins of the upper arm, and the subclavian vein. The average dose of contrast medium used in ten patients was 10.6 mL (range 10-12 mL), and the mean accumulated dose during the examination was 3.5 mGy (range 2-5.2 mGy).

Opacification quality	Poor	Fair	Good	
Cephalic vein of forearm	1	3	6	
Basiilic vein of forearm	7	0	3	
Cephalic vein of upper arm	0	4	6	
Basilic vein of upper arm	0	0	10	
Axillary vein	0	0	10	
Subclavian vein	0	0	10	
Brachiocephalic vein	0	1	9	
SVC	1	5	4	

Patients number	gender	Age (year)	creatine Level (mg/dL)	Operative methods	Dose of CM (mL)	Exposure (mGy)	Opacification quality of CV	Occlusion of CV
1	Male	74	3.73	AVG	10	2.2	Poor	No
2	Female	87	6.8	rcAVF	10	3.3	Good	No
3	Male	59	8.21	rcAVF	10	2.1	Fair	No
4	Male	78	3.01	rcAVF	12	2.6	Good	No
5	Male	58	4.53	rcAVF	12	3.6	Good	Yes
6	Male	64	5.05	rcAVF	10	2.0	Good	No
7	Female	76	5.8	AVG	10	2.2	Fair	Yes
8	Male	74	6.84	AVG	10	2.1	Fair	Yes
9	Male	65	14.49	AVG	12	1.6	Good	Yes
10	Male	61	5.6	AVG	10	1.7	Good	Yes

Conclusions:

Preliminary findings indicates that single bolus stepping-gantry venography may be a valuable and alternative method for venous mapping prior to hemodialysis vascular access creation as it uses less contrast media and reduces the radiation dose.

References:

555--SP

- 1. Planken R, Tordoir JHM, Duijm LEM, de Haan M, Leiner T. Current techniques for assessment of upper extremity vasculature prior to hemodialysis vascular access creation. Eur Radiol 2007; 17:3001-3011
- 2. Mehran R, Nikolsky E. Contrast-induced nephropathy: definition, epidemiology, and patients at risk. Kidney Int 2006; 69:S11-S15
- 3. Won Y, Lee J, Shin Y, et al. Small dose contrast venography as venous mapping in predialysis patients. J Vasc Access 2010; 11:122





