

# COMBINED LIVER AND KIDNEY TRANSPLANTATION – A SINGLE CENTER EXPERIENCE

Ana Carina Ferreira<sup>1, 2</sup>, Cecília Silva<sup>1, 2</sup>, Francisco Remédio<sup>1, 2</sup>, Ana Pena<sup>1</sup>, Eduardo Barroso<sup>1</sup>, Fernando Nolasco<sup>1, 2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>-Transplantation Unit; <sup>2</sup>-Nephrology Department; Centro Hospitalar de Lisboa Central, Hospital Curry Cabral, Lisbon, Portugal

## INTRODUCTION AND AIMS

Chronic kidney disease is a dangerous complication after orthotopic liver transplantation (OLT) and is associated with increased morbidity and decreased survival.

CKD has been reported to occur in 4 – 80% of OLT recipients, depending on criteria used to define CKD and duration of follow-up. Renal dysfunction pre OLT is the major determinant of OLT outcome, and poor renal function predicts decreased survival. Indeed, renal dysfunction pre OLT is one of the risk factors for this problem.

Assessment of renal function pre OLT is mandatory to all candidates, and combined kidney-liver transplant (KLTx) can be used in patients with failure of both organs.

**The aims of this study were to evaluate the renal and patient survival after combined liver-kidney transplant (LKTx), and perform a critical analysis of the results**

## POPULATION AND METHODS

We performed an observational retrospective data review of all 12 patients who underwent simultaneous KLTx in our Unit, between January 2002 and January 2012, using the piggy back technique, with partial cava clamping. Liver allografts were whole organ. All grafts (liver and kidney) were obtained from the same deceased donors, except in one patient that received a liver from a live donor with FAP.

We recorded age; gender; race; etiology of liver and renal disease; MELD score and renal function (Scr) at transplantation; requirement for renal replacement therapy pre or post-transplant; diabetes, hypertension, hepatitis B and C virus; HLA mismatches; immunosuppression; rejection episodes; renal, hepatic and patient survival; and cause of death (when applicable). At the end of the follow up, renal function was classified according to the K/DIGO Clinical Practice Guidelines

## RESULTS

12 LKTx recipients:

- 6 males; all caucasian
- Mean age: 48.8±11.5 years
- Hepatitis C virus in 4 patients
- Mean MELD score 17.3±6.9
- Mean Scr 3.3±1.7 mg/dl; 8 were on dialysis before KLTx
- Mean HLA mismatches were 5±0.8. Mean donor age 34.3±20.9 years.
- Mean follow up time 31.3±28.3 months

## INDICATIONS FOR LIVER-KIDNEY TRANSPLANTATION

| PATIENT | GENDER | AGE    | INDICATIONS FOR OLT           | CAUSE OF CKD                        |
|---------|--------|--------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1*#     | Female | 60 yrs | FAP                           | CKD 5D - amyloidosis                |
| 2*#     | Female | 59 yrs | Cirrhosis – unknown cause     | CKD 5D – unknown cause              |
| 3       | Male   | 46 yrs | FAP (graft chronic rejection) | CKD 5D – calcineurin toxicity       |
| 4*#     | Male   | 28 yrs | Hepatitis C                   | CKD 5D – IgA nephropathy            |
| 5       | Male   | 53 yrs | Hepatitis C (with HCC)        | CKD 5D - ADPKD                      |
| 6#      | Male   | 32 yrs | Hemochromatosis               | CKD 5D – MPGN type I                |
| 7       | Male   | 64 yrs | Hepatitis C and alcohol       | CKD 5D – chronic glomerulonephritis |
| 8*#     | Female | 37 yrs | FAP                           | CKD 3B - amyloidosis                |
| 9*#     | Male   | 57 yrs | Hepatitis C and alcohol       | CKD 3B – diabetic nephropathy       |
| 10#     | Female | 52 yrs | Portal hypertension           | CKD 5D - ADPKD                      |
| 11      | Female | 54 yrs | Portal hypertension           | CKD 5D - ADPKD                      |
| 12      | Female | 44 yrs | Portal hypertension           | CKD 5 - ADPKD                       |

Yrs – years; FAP – familial amyloidotic polineuropathy; CKD – chronic kidney disease; HCC – hepatic cellular carcinoma; ADPKD – autosomic dominant polycystic disease; MPGN – membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis

- ❖ hepatic and renal indication (n=7);
  - ❖ predominantly hepatic indication (n=2);
  - ❖ predominantly renal indication (n=3).
- \* death  
# kidney loss

## IMMEDIATE POST-TRANSPLANT PERIOD

### Delayed graft kidney function

ATN in 5 patients (patients 1, 3, 4, 7, 9)

Dialysis in 3 patients (patients 1, 4, 9)

All died  
(1.3±1.2 months)

## MORTALITY

- ➔ **SEPSIS: n= 3**  
Hepatitis C / CKD 3B IgA nephropathy  
Hepatitis C with HCC / CKD 5D ADPKD  
Hepatic and kidney failure of unknown cause
- ➔ **STROKE: n=1**  
FAP / CKD 5 amyloidosis
- ➔ **CACHEXIA: n=1**  
FAP / CKD 3B amyloidosis

## LONG TERM FOLLOW-UP PERIOD

### Organ- rejection episodes

- No acute liver rejections episodes
- 1 vascular kidney graft rejection (8months):
  - Unkown cause of hepatic and kidney failure
  - Immunosuppression switch (Sirolimus) for Kaposi
  - Died after 2 months in dialysis and with septic shock

### Lost of kidney grafts

- Death with a non-functioning graft 4
- Death with a functioning graft 1
- Disease recurrence in the graft 1
- Donor diabetic nephropathy 1

### Functioning kidney grafts – 41.7%

Scr 1.5±0.5 mg/dl  
(27.6±26 months)

## DISEASE SEVERITY AND CLINICAL OUTCOMES DEPENDING ON INDICATIONS FOR LKTx

|             | HEPATIC / RENAL INDICATIONS | HEPATIC INDICATIONS | RENAL INDICATIONS | p     |
|-------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------|
| MELD        | 20.5±4.5                    | 8±2.8               | 16.3±3            | 0.05  |
| Scr         | 9.3±2 mg/dl                 | 1.9±0.4 mg/dl       | 8.2±3.1 mg/dl     | 0.007 |
| Bilirubin   | 1.6±1.5 mg/dl               | 1±1.2 mg/dl         | 0.5±0.2 mg/dl     | NS    |
| NIR         | 1.1±0.6                     | 1.1±0.4             | 0.4±0.8           | NS    |
| ATN         | n=4                         | n=1                 | 0                 | NS    |
| Kidney loss | n=4                         | n=2                 | n=1               | NS    |
| Death       | n=3                         | n=2                 | 0                 | NS    |
| Follow-up   | 27.2±30.6 months            | 18±24 MONTHS        | 50±23.1 months    | NS    |

MELD score is unable to differentiate between candidates with severe hepatic failure and normal renal function and candidates with CKD and well preserved liver function. Perchance the MELD score may not be the most appropriate tool for the selection of candidates for liver transplant, and the *delta* MELD score should be evaluated.

MELD – Model for End Liver Disease;  
Scr – Serum creatinine;  
NIR – normalised international ratio;  
ATN – acute tubular necrosis;  
NS – non-statistic

## CONCLUSIONS

These results require a reflection on candidates and indications for double transplantation. The shortage of organs and the bad results (death and kidney loss) cast doubt on the usefulness of simultaneous transplantation in the “very ill” patients (ie, patients with severe hepatic failure and clinically unstable), some of which may recover renal function. Sequential transplantation can be a good option in selected patients.

References:  
Pham PT, Pham PC, Wilkinson AH. Renal function outcomes following liver transplantation and combined liver-kidney transplantation. Nature Clin Pract Nephrol 2007;3:507-514

First autor’s contact: karinadacostafer@hotmail.com

