



INTRODUCTION

More than 80% of all cases of **hepatocellular** carcinoma (HCC) can be attributed to chronic viral hepatitis (caused by hepatitis B, C and D viruses – HBV, HCV and HDV respectively) and both HBV and HCV are classified by the World Health Organization as oncogenic viruses.

Hepatitis D, the result of a concomitant infection with both HDV and HBV, is considered the most severe form of chronic viral hepatitis.

The impact of HDV infection on the risk of HCC is, however, debated. It was for long considered that the incidence of HCC in chronic hepatitis D (CHD) patients was similar to that of HBV monoinfected patients, without any contribution of HDV per se and that the major complication of CHD was decompensated cirrhosis, not HCC. However, recent evidence from cohort studies suggests a significant increase in the risk of HCC in CHD patients.

AM

We conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of epidemiological studies to examine whether chronic HDV infection is associated with an increased risk of HCC compared to chronic HBV monoinfection.

METHODS

We searched Pubmed, Embase and Web of Science databases for studies indexed from database inception until January 2018, with no language restriction. Search terms referred to **hepatitis D**, **liver disease** progression and hepatocellular carcinoma. We also searched study references and conference proceedings for additional studies.

We considered **cohort** and **case-control** studies allowing the calculation of effect estimates for the association between chronic hepatitis D and hepatocellular carcinoma, in comparison to chronic hepatitis B virus monoinfection.

The primary exposure was chronic hepatitis D and the outcome was any diagnosis of **hepatocellular** carcinoma.

Data extraction was performed independently by two authors using predefined data fields, including quality indicators, according to the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale. Data were pooled using **random-effect models**.

				S	7
	Identification		ide	R ntii P (n	lec fiec ub = 2
	Screening				
	Eligibility				
	Included				
A a s n	A. in ituo	P d die ta	R s es -a	IS el (2	SN e 24 aly



type of study. A total of 95604 patients were included (74822 from cohorts and 20782 from case-control studies). The majority (70%) of the patients were included in European studies.

Hepatitis D infection and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies

<u>D. ALFAIATE¹, N. GOOSSENS², S. CLÉMENT³, F. NEGRO^{2,3}</u>

¹ Department of Pathology and Immunology, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland ² Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Geneva University Hospital, Geneva, Switzerland ³ Division of Clinical Pathology, Geneva University Hospital, Geneva, Switzerland

RESULTS



MA flow diagram outlining search strategy ection of the included studies. Eighty-eight cohorts and 64 case-controls) were included in the



represented as OR (dot) and 95% CI (line). Measures of association were pooled as OR and 95% CI using the inverse variance technique. We identified a significantly higher risk of HCC in patients with CHD, in comparison to HBV monoinfection.

\mathbf{D} **SUBGROUP ANALYSIS**

Subgroup analysis	Studies (n)	Patients (n)	Pooled OR (95% CI)	p-value	ŕ
Study design					
Cohort	24	74822	1.77 (1.31-2.38)	<0.001	63.94
Case-control	64	20782	1.09 (0.77-1.54)	0.64	68.64
Risk of bias					
Low (NOS≥5)	67	91565	1.32 (1.04-1.67)	0.02	66.78
High (NOS<5)	21	4039	1.24 (0.62-2.49)	0.55	73.28
Age					
Children	2	131	2.01 (0.16-24.56)	0.58	0
Adults	26	60851	2.11 (1.39-3.2)	<0.001	66.64
Undefined	61	34622	1.05 (0.78-1.41)	0.76	66.69
HCV co-infection*					
Yes	7	102	0.92 (0.35-2.40)	0.86	0
No	22	19453	1.72 (1.01-2.92)	0.04	69.04
Undefined	66	75862	1.19 (0.92-1.55)	0.19	69.31
HIV co-infection					
Yes	4	997	7.13 (2.83-17.92)	<0.001	0
No	11	19781	1.76 (1.10-2.81)	0.02	56.28
Undefined	73	74826	1.14 (0.88-1.48)	0.32	69.81
Overall	88	95604	1.29 (1.03-1.61)	0.02	68.34

* One hundred and eighty seven HCV+ patients from Béguelin et al 2017 were not included in 0.125 0.25 0.5 1 2 4 8 16 32 the analysis as no information was available on the incidence of HCC in this group Odds Ratio

↓ in HDV [↑] ↑ in HDV

D. Forest plots of the subgroup analysis. Studies were classified according to design in **cohorts** and **case-controls**. The risk of bias was evaluated using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale and a cut-off of 5 points was applied to separate studies with high (NOS<5) and low risk of bias (NOS≥5). The **children** subgroup included patients <18 years old; the **adult** group included studies for which it was possible to exclude patients <18 years old based on the information provided and one of the following criteria: lowest value of the age range for all patients \geq 18 years old or lower limit of the 95% confidence of the mean \geq 18 years old. All the studies for which it was not possible to exclude children were grouped as unknown. For HCV and HIV infection, the same criteria was applied: the non-coinfected group included patients from studies where the presence of a coinfection was an exclusion criteria, as well as, in the case of HCV, subgroups of patients for which it was possible to exclude coinfection. Four of the included cohorts encompassed only HIV infected patients. It was possible to pool data from HCV infected subgroups for 7 studies. Patients from the remaining studies where the presence of coinfection was not evaluated or not enough information was provided to analyze sub-groups, were pooled as unknown.

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

Sensitivity analysis	Studies (n)	Patients (n)	itients Pooled OR (n) (95% CI)		p-value <i>Î</i>	
Overall	88	95604	1.29 (1.03-1.61)	0.02	68.34	
Full-text articles	80	91074	1.30 (1.02-1.65)	0.03	69.39	
Matched or adjusted studies	11	63755	2.46 (1.59-3.80)	<0.001	62.44	
Prospective studies	11	7065	3.02 (1.94-4.70)	<0.001	0	

0.5 1 2 4 8 Odds Ratio \downarrow in HDV \uparrow în HDV

E. Forest plots of the sensitivity analyses. Metaanalysis were conducted including only: studies available as fulltext; studies adjusting for confounders by matching or adjustment; prospective studies.





Hôpitaux

Jniversitaires







CONCLUSIONS

- This review found a significantly higher risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with chronic hepatitis **D**, in comparison to chronic hepatitis B virus monoinfection (OR 1.29; 95% CI 1.03-1.61; p=0.02).
- The association was **particularly strong in** studies with more robust design, namely in prospective cohort studies (pooled odds ratio 3.02; 95% CI 1.94-4.7; p=<0.001; I2=63.94%), and in **HIV-infected patients** (pooled odds ratio 7.13; 95% CI 2.83-17.92; p<0.001; I2=0%).
- This observation underlines the burden of hepatitis D virus infection and its additional oncogenic potential and reinforces the need for accrued HDV screening and the development of novel and effective antiviral medicines.



CI, confidence interval **HBV**, hepatitis B virus **HCC**, hepatocellular carcinoma **HCV**, hepatitis C virus HDV, hepatitis D virus

CHD, chronic hepatitis D **HIV**, human immunodeficiency virus NOS, Newcastle Ottawa Scale **OR**, odds ratio WOS, Web of Science

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Dulce Alfaiate was funded by a grant from the Nuovo Soldati Foundation.



Dulce Alfaiate, MD, PhD Department of Pathology and Immunology University of Geneva Centre Médical Universitaire **Rue Michel Servet 1** 1211 Geneva – Switzerland dulce.alfaiate@unige.ch

