

Drug-drug interactions in HCV therapy: Still relevant for clinical practice?

Benjamin Schulte^{1,3}, Maximilian Wübbolding¹, Kerstin Port¹, Michael P. Manns^{1,3}, David Back², Fiona Marra², Markus Cornberg^{1,3}, Benjamin Maasoumy^{1,3}, Christoph Höner zu Siederdissen¹

²University of Liverpool, Molecular and Clinical Pharmacology, Liverpool L69 3BX, United Kingdom

¹Department of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Endocrinology, Hannover Medical School, Carl-Neuberg-Straße 1, 30625 Hannover, Germany ³German Centre for Infection Research (Deutsches Zentrum für Infektionsforschung DZIF), Partner-site Hannover-Braunschweig, Hannover, Germany

Background:

- With direct-acting antivirals (DAA) drug-drug interactions (DDI) have emerged as a new challenge in the treatment of hepatitis C virus infection (HCV).
- Pharmacokinetic DDI can lead to either higher rates of adverse events or decreased rates of sustained virological response (SVR).

Aim:

 \succ In the present study we aimed to i) assess if the frequency of DDI has changed over time, ii) identify patients with an increased risk for DDI, iii) identify drugs most commonly involved and iv) look at relevant DDI mechanisms.

Patients and Methods:

>In total 668 HCV patients treated at Hannover Medical School from January 2014 to July 2018 were evaluated for their concomitant medications.

>Herbal drugs and nutritional supplements containing several (active) ingredients were only counted as one medication. In case of other combination preparations, e.g. sitagliptin/metformin, each active ingredient was counted separately.

>If concomitant medications were changed prior to HCV treatment due to suspected DDI the initially used concomitant medications were included in the analysis. \geq DDI were classified as follows: 1) no interaction expected, 2) potential weak interaction, 3) potential significant interaction and 4) do not coadminister.

 \succ To evaluate changing frequencies of DDI over time with various DAA regimens, periods were defined as follows: A) January 2014 – November 2014, B) November 2014 – August 2016 and C) August 2016 – July 2018, based on new approval of key DAA. >The assessment was based on data from hep-druginteractions.org, in case of missing information an expert opinion of a pharmacist and Prescribing Information. **Table 1** Recaling characteristics of the study cohort

	Total cohort	Jan. 2014 – Nov. 2014	Nov. 2014 – Aug. 2016
Number of patients (n, %)	668 (100.0)	162 (24.3)	256 (38.3)
Sex (n, %)			
female	301 (45.1)	65 (40.1)	122 (47.7)
male	367 (54.9)	97 (59.9)	134 (52.3)
Age (years; mean, range)	55.5 (18 – 85)	55.3 (24 – 81)	58.2 (24 – 85)
	[6.6% >74 years]		
Cirrhosis (n, %)	282 (42.2)	120 (74.1)	105 (41.0)
Hepatitis C virus			
genotype (n, %)			
1	477 (71.4)	101 (62.3)	217 (84.8)
2	29 (4.3)	13 (8.0)	2 (0.8)
3	123 (18.4)	42 (25.9)	23 (9.0)
4	22 (3.3)	4 (2.5)	7 (2.7)
5	7 (1.0)	1 (0.6)	2 (0.8)
6	1 (0.1)	0 (0)	0 (0)
n/a	9 (1.3)	1 (0.6)	5 (2.0)

 \succ The median of home medications per patient in the total cohort was 3 (range: 0-19).



Hannover Medical School

Pocker Possion01

LIVER CONGRESS¹¹ APRIL 10 - 14, VIENNA, AUSTRIA