





# THERAPEUTIC EFFECTS AND ALPHA-AMYLASE RESISTANCE OF A NEW MIXED STARCH AND XANTHAN GUM THICKENER IN FOUR DIFFERENT PHENOTYPES OF PATIENTS WITH OROPHARYNGEAL DYSPHAGIA

Ortega O<sup>1,2</sup>, Gallegos C<sup>3</sup>, Arreola O<sup>1</sup>, Martín A<sup>1</sup>, Nascimento WV<sup>1</sup>, Álvarez-Berdugo D<sup>1,2</sup>, Tomsen N<sup>1,2</sup>, Bolivar-Prados M<sup>1</sup>, Fillol-Bruguera L<sup>1</sup>, Brito de La Fuente E<sup>3</sup>, Clavé P<sup>1,2</sup>.

1 Gastrointestinal Physiology Laboratory, CIBERehd CSdM-UAB, Hospital de Mataró, Barcelona, Spain.

2 CIBERehd, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain.

3 Product and Process Engineering Center, Fresenius Kabi Deutschland GmbH, Bad Homburg, Germany.

## INTRODUCTION

Oropharyngeal dysphagia (OD) is a prevalent condition among patient phenotypes (older, neurological, post head/neck cancer -HNC-) and leads to severe complications. There is evidence that thickened fluids reduce aspirations; however, the clinical efficacy of thickeners can be affected by salivary  $\alpha$ -amylase and vary according to OD patient phenotype.

### **MATERIAL & METHODS**

- We studied 128 patients with OD: G1) 36 older; G2) 31 HNC; G3) 30 Parkinson; and G4) 31 stroke.
- Therapeutic effect of FCT was assessed with videofluoroscopy (VFS) using the Penetration-Aspiration Scale (PAS) for 5 and 20 mL boluses at 4 levels of viscosity (thin liquid, nectar [250mPa·s<sup>-1</sup>], honey [1000mPa·s<sup>-1</sup>] and

#### AIM

To assess the therapeutic effect and α-amylase resistance of a new thickener composed of modified starch, xanthan gum, maltodextrin and modified cellulose (Fresubin Clear Thickener® [FCT], FreseniusKabi) on 4 phenotypes of OD patients.

- spoon thick [2000mPa s<sup>-1</sup>] at a shear rate of 50 s<sup>-1</sup>).
- The  $\alpha$ -amylase effect on each level of viscosity was assessed with a rotational viscometer (HAAKE<sup>TM</sup> Viscotester<sup>TM</sup> 550) after 30s oral incubation of 15 mL bolus in the patient's mouth.



Thin liquid

Figure 1. Study algorithm.

### RESULTS

#### **1.** Demography and VFS characteristics of the study population:



**2. Therapeutic effect:** FCT had a strong viscosity-dependent therapeutic effect on safety of swallow in all groups, with a maximal effect at spoon thick, and did not increase pharyngeal residue at any viscosity (Figure 4 and 5). HNC cancer patients had the poorest safety and efficacy results (Figure 4 and 5).



Global mean PAS

#### Table 2. Videofluroscopic signs of impaired efficacy and safety of swallow in the study6 ]

groups.

OLDER	HNC	PARKINSON	STROKE	p-value	
36	31	30	31		
100.00 (36)	100.00 (31)	100.00 (30)	96.67 (29)	nc	
91.67 (33)	80.65 (31)	76.67 (23)##	61.29 (19)** ###	0.0002	
66.67 (24)	96.80 (30)**	86.67 (26)####	74.19 (23)# <sup>∓</sup>	<0.0001	
63.89 (23)	83.87 (26)	56.67 (17)#	77.42 (24)	<u>0.076</u>	
58.3 (21)	74.19 (23)	46.67 (14)#	67.74 (21)	0.135	
25.00 (9)	41.94 (13)	13.33 (4)#	35.48 (11)	<u>0.071</u>	
11.11 (4)	25.81 (8)	13.33 (4)	9.67 (3)	0.256	
4.08±2.31	5.36±2.89	3.80±2.17 <sup>#</sup>	4.55±2.26	0.038	
	OLDER 36 100.00 (36) 91.67 (33) 66.67 (24) 63.89 (23) 58.3 (21) 25.00 (9) 11.11 (4) 4.08±2.31	OLDERHNC3631100.00 (36)100.00 (31)91.67 (33)80.65 (31)66.67 (24)96.80 (30)**63.89 (23)83.87 (26)58.3 (21)74.19 (23)25.00 (9)41.94 (13)11.11 (4)25.81 (8)4.08±2.315.36±2.89	OLDERHNCPARKINSON363130100.00 (36)100.00 (31)100.00 (30)91.67 (33)80.65 (31)76.67 (23)##66.67 (24)96.80 (30)**86.67 (26)####63.89 (23)83.87 (26)56.67 (17)#58.3 (21)74.19 (23)46.67 (14)#25.00 (9)41.94 (13)13.33 (4)#11.11 (4)25.81 (8)13.33 (4)4.08±2.315.36±2.893.80±2.17#	OLDERHNCPARKINSONSTROKE36313031100.00 (36)100.00 (31)100.00 (30)96.67 (29)91.67 (33)80.65 (31)76.67 (23)##61.29 (19)**###66.67 (24)96.80 (30)**86.67 (26)###74.19 (23)# T63.89 (23)83.87 (26)56.67 (17)#77.42 (24)58.3 (21)74.19 (23)46.67 (14)#67.74 (21)25.00 (9)41.94 (13)13.33 (4)#35.48 (11)11.11 (4)25.81 (8)13.33 (4)9.67 (3)4.08±2.315.36±2.893.80±2.17#4.55±2.26	

PAS: penetration-aspiration scale; HNC: head and neck cancer; FS: fractional swallow; OR: oral residue; PR: pharyngeal residue. \* p-value <0.05, \*\* <0.01 vs. Older; # p-value <0.05, ## <0.01, ###<0.001,

####<0.0001 vs. H&N; 7 p-value <0.05, 777<0.001 vs. Parkinson; nc: not calculable.



Thin liquid 250mPa·s 1000mPa·s 2000mPa·s

**Figure 3.** Global mean PAS of the study population. <sup>\*\*</sup>*p*-value <0.01, <sup>\*\*\*\*</sup><0.0001 vs. liquid; <sup>###</sup>*p*-value <0.001, <sup>####</sup> <0.0001 vs. nectar; <sup>+</sup>*p*-value <0.05 vs. honey.

**3. Mechanism of action:** LVC was reduced with 1000mPa·s and 2000mPa·s viscosities. The rest of OSR parameters were not affected by FCT (Table 3).





Figure 6. Chronogram of the oropharyngeal swallow response in a patient with an aspiration with thin liquid. All temporal measurements were

**Figure 4.** PAS score frequency between viscosities and patients. PAS 1-2: safe swallow and PAS 3-8: unsafe swallow. *HNC: head and neck cancer.* 

Safe Swallow (log-dose vs response)

Pharyngeal Residue (log-dose vs response)



Figure 5. Effect of viscosity on safety and efficacy of swallow (pharyngeal residue) and multiple comparisons between study populations. HNC: Head and neck cancer. \* p-value <0.05, \*\* <0.01, \*\*\*<0.001 vs. Older; # p-value <0.05, ## <0.01, ###<0.001, ####<0.0001 vs. H&N.

4. Amylase effect: The viscosity of FCT was not affected by salivary α-amylase of study patients at any of the viscosity levels tested compared with control samples not incubated with saliva (Figure 7). We also found no differences within patient phenotype groups.

referenced to glossopalatal junction opening as time 0. The white point depicts time to bolus entering the laryngeal vestibule (penetration) and red point depicts time to bolus passing below the vocal folds (aspiration). *T (ms), Time (milliseconds); GPJ, glossopalatal junction; VPJ, velopharyngeal junction; LV, laryngeal vestibule; UES, upper esophageal sphincter.* 

#### Table 3. Effect of FCT on oropharyngeal swallow response (OSR) in all study patients.

	Thin liquid	250mPa·s	1000mPa⋅s	2000mPa·s	p-value
LVC (ms)	387.00±149.50	359.00±125.20	$315.80{\pm}106.30^{{}^{***}\#}$	$316.20{\pm}122.60^{****\#\!$	<0.0001
UESO (ms)	259.50±110.3	257.20±101.20	260.00±95.90	290.10±172.90	<u>0.066</u>
Mean bolus vel. (m/s)	0.26±0.11	0.27±0.09	0.27±0.09	0.24±0.12	0.230
Kinetic energy (mJ)	0.96±0.88	0.90±0.69	0.83±0.63	0.85±1.09	0.112
Force (mN)	14.87±11.39	14.43±11.59	13.19±10.81	13.31±15.76	0.228

LVC: laryngeal vestibule closure; UESO: upper esophageal sphincter opening. \*\*\* p-value <0.001, \*\*\*\* <0.0001 vs. liquid viscosity; # p-value <0.05, ## <0.01 vs. nectar viscosity.



Figure 7. Effect of saliva and shear thinning on viscosity measured with a rotational viscometer.

#### CONCLUSIONS

- Increasing bolus viscosity with Fresubin Clear® has a strong viscositydependent therapeutic effect by improving safety of swallow with maximal effect at spoon-thick viscosity (2000mPa·s<sup>-1</sup>) and without increasing pharyngeal residue.
- ✓ The therapeutic effect of FCT is phenotype-dependent, having the strongest therapeutic effect in older patients, Parkinson and stroke and the weakest in HNC.
- $\checkmark$  Fresubin Clear® is resistant to salivary α-amylase.

