Open-Label, Randomized, Phase 3 Study of Coformulated Favezelimab and Pembrolizumab Versus Chemotherapy in Patients With Relapsed or Refractory Classical Hodgkin Lymphoma Refractory to Anti-PD-1 Therapy: KEYFORM-008

Background

- PD-1 inhibitors are highly effective in patients with relapsed or refractory (R/R) classical H (cHL), but treatment options are limited for those with disease progression after PD-1 bloc
- There is an unmet need for effective therapies for anti–PD-1–resistant cHL
- Upregulation of lymphocyte-activation gene 3 (LAG-3) expression in cHL is proposed to a anti–PD-1 resistance¹
- The anti–LAG-3 antibody favezelimab plus the anti–PD-1 therapy pembrolizumab has showing the second s antitumor activity and manageable safety in patients with R/R cHL after anti-PD-1 therapy
- In the randomized, open-label, parallel-group, active-controlled, phase 3 trial, KEYFORMwe will evaluate the efficacy and safety of coformulated favezelimab and pembrolizumab in patients with R/R cHL that is refractory to anti–PD-1/L1 therapy

Objectives

Primary

 To evaluate progression-free survival (PFS) per Lugano criteria by blinded independent ce coformulated favezelimab and pembrolizumab versus chemotherapy

Secondary

- To evaluate overall survival (OS), and objective response rate (ORR) and duration of response criteria by BICR of coformulated favezelimab and pembrolizumab versus chemotherapy
- To evaluate safety and tolerability of coformulated favezelimab and pembrolizumab

Exploratory

- To evaluate PFS on subsequent line of therapy (PFS2) coformulated favezelimab and perr chemotherapy
- To evaluate patient-reported quality of life coformulated favezelimab and pembrolizumab
- To evaluate pharmacokinetic profile of coformulated favezelimab and pembrolizumab
- To identify molecular biomarkers indicative of clinical response/resistance, safety, pharmaco mechanism of action of coformulated favezelimab and pembrolizumab

Methods

Study design



Auto-SCT, autologous stem-cell transplantation; BV, brentuximab vedotin; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; IV, intravenous; PD, progressive disease; Q3W, every 3 weeks.

Presented at the 65th American Society of Hematology Annual Meeting and Exposition; San Diego, California; December 9-12, 2023

| | Patient eligibility crite | eria | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| odgkin lymphoma | | Key inclusion criteria | Key exclusion criteria | | |
| kade ontribute to own promising y ² 008 (NCT05508867), versus chemotherapy | Age ≥18 years Histologically confir Has progressed on monotherapy or co Provision of a new ECOG performance Adequate organ fue Measurable diseass Must have had progressed | Known active CNS metastases or active CNS involvement Active infection requiring systemic therapy Active autoimmune disease Prior therapy with an anti–LAG-3 antibody Clinically significant cardiovascular disease | | | |
| entral review (BICR) of onse (DOR) per Lugano | Auto-SCT Brentuximab vedotin CNS, central nervous system; mAb, monoclonal antibody; Q6W, every 6 weeks. ^aPD-1 treatment progression is defined by meeting all of the following criteria: received at least 3 months of contiguous therapy (at leas 2 doses if given on a Q6W schedule or at least 4 doses if given on a Q3W schedule), documented disease progression per Lugano criter and had progressive disease documented within 12 weeks from last dose of anti–PD-1/L1 mAb as determined by investigator. | | | | |
| | Assessments | Detail | | | |
| 1brolizumab and and chemotherapy | AEs | AEs will be monitored and assessed by investigators throughout the trial and for 30 days (90 days for serious adverse events) after the last dose of trial treatment Severity will be graded per NCI Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, version 5.0 | | | |
| dynamic activity, and/or | Tumor response | Assessed by CT and PET or MRI every 12 weeks until disease progression or trial discontinuation | | | |
| | AF adverse event: CT con | nuted tomography: MRL magnetic resonance imaging: NCL | National Cancer Institute: PET positron emiss | | |

tomography

| Α | na | ly | se | S |
|---|----|--------------|----|---|
| | | - J ` | | |

| Analysis | |
|----------|---|
| Safety | Safety analyses will be conducted in the assigned patients who received ≥1 dose |
| Efficacy | Efficacy analyses will be conducted in the OS, PFS, PFS2, and DOR will be sum ORR will be estimated and its 95% CI method PFS and OS will be evaluated using a stratified Cox regression mode |

APaT, all patients as treated; HR, hazard ratio; ITT, intention-to-treat.

• Safety follow-up visit Survival follow-up after PD or tarting new antineoplastic therapy A. F. Herrera¹⁴

¹Hadassah Medical Center, Jerusalem, Israel; ²UCLA Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA; ³University Hospital of Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain; ⁴Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea; ⁵Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Republic of Korea; ⁶Sheba Medical Center–Tel HaShomer, Ramat Gan, Israel; ⁷University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium; ⁸Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH, USA; ⁹Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden; ¹⁰Ankara University School of Medicine, Ankara, Türkiye; ¹¹Istanbul Medipol University, International School of Medicine, Istanbul, Türkiye; ¹² Moffitt Cancer Center, Tampa, FL, USA; ¹³Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ, USA; ¹⁴City of Hope, Duarte, CA, USA

Detail

the APaT population, consisting of all randomly e of trial treatment

he ITT population of all randomly assigned patients nmarized using the Kaplan-Meier method I will be calculated using the Clopper-Pearson

stratified log-rank test and HRs will be estimated

Status

Sites of patient enrollment for KEYFORM-008 (green)



References

1. Veldman J. et al. Cancer Treat Rev. 2020;82:101931.

2. Timmerman J. et al. Blood. 2022;140:768-770.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the patients and their families and investigators and site personnel. Medical writing and/or editorial assistance was provided by Bresler Swanepoel, PhD, and Matthew Grzywacz, PhD, of ApotheCom (Yardley, PA, USA). This assistance was funded by Merck Sharp & Dohme LLC, a subsidiary of Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ, USA. Funding for this research was provided by Merck Sharp & Dohme LLC, a subsidiary of Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ, USA.

Contact Information

Contact davidla@hadassah.org.il for questions and comments.

D. Lavie¹; J. Timmerman²; R. Garcia Sanz³; W. S. Kim⁴; T. M. Kim⁵; A. Avigdor⁶; D. Dierickx⁷; D. Jagadeesh⁸; D. Molin⁹; M. Ozcan¹⁰; O. G. Sevindik¹¹; H. Saeed¹²; Y. Sidi¹³; P. Pillai¹³; P. Marinello¹³;

To access plain language summary



https://bit.ly/3QAZAPS

ASH2023

Copyright © 2023 Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ, USA and its affiliates. All rights reserved.