AN EVALUATION OF HEALTH-RELATED QUALITY OF LIFE IN CONTINUOUS AMBULATORY PERITONEAL DIALYSIS AND AUTOMATED PERITONEAL DIALYSIS MODALITIES

Fan Yang¹, Nan Luo¹, Konstadina Griva^{2,3}, <u>Titus Lau⁴</u>

- ¹Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health, National University of Singapore
- ²Department of Psychology, Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences, National University of Singapore
- ³Health Services Research, City University London, Northampton Square, London EC1V0HB, UK
- ⁴Division of Nephrology, University Medicine Cluster, National University Health System, Singapore

OBJECTIVES

Health-related quality of life (HRQOL) is an important predictor of clinical outcomes for ESRD patients and therefore HRQOL has been suggested to be used as a valuable supplement to clinical outcome measures. HRQOL of patients undergoing ESRD treatment is affected by both medical and nonmedical factors.

However, there are only few studies on factors influencing HRQOL in peritoneal dialysis (PD) patients and amongst Asian ESRD patients. This study aims to compare and evaluate the health-related quality of life (HRQOL) in Asian endstage renal disease (ESRD) patients treated with continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD) and automated peritoneal dialysis (APD) in Singapore.

METHODS

Data used in this study were from two cross-sectional surveys conducted between 2009 and 2013. Participants were recruited from the PD centers of Singapore General Hospital and National University Hospital. The inclusion criteria were ESRD patients who has been on PD for at least 3 months and is at least 21-years-old. In both surveys, participants completed the Kidney Disease Quality of Life (KDQOL) instrument and questions assessing sociodemographic characteristics. Comorbidities, clinical, and dialysis-related variables (i.e. vintage, dialysis adequacy, dependency status [self-cared/assisted]) were retrieved from medical records.

The 36-item KDQOL (KDQOL-36) was used to generate two generic summary scores (physical component summary [PCS], mental component summary [MCS]), three disease-specific scales (Symptoms, Effects, Burden), and two health utility scores (Short Form 6-Dimension [SF-6D] and EuroQol 5-Dimension [EQ-5D]). For all these scales, higher scores indicate better HRQOL. The HRQOL of patients treated with CAPD and APD measured by the abovementioned scales was compared using separate linear regression models with adjustment of variables collected. The variables were also assessed for their contribution to the HRQOL by the multiple linear regression.

RESULTS

Of the 382 PD patients approached, 266 were eligible and agreed to participate: 145 on CAPD (mean age: 60.8 years; female: 54.5%) and 121 on APD (mean age: 57.4 years; female: 54.5%).

Table 1. Characteristics of patients

	N=266	CAPD (n=145)	APD $(n=121)$	p-value
Age, mean (SD)	59.3 (12.5)	60.8 (11.4)	57.4 (13.6)	0.03
Gender				0.99
Male	121 (45.5%)	66 (45.5%)	55 (45.5%)	
Female	145(54.5%)	79 (54.5%)	66 (54.5%)	
Ethnicity				0.86
Chinese	198(74.4%)	109(75.2%)	89(73.6%)	
Malay	47 (17.7%)	24 (16.5%)	23 (19.0%)	
Indian or others	21(7.9%)	12(8.3%)	9(7.4%)	
Educational level				0.02
Low (secondary/below)	215 (80.8%)	125 (86.2%)	90 (74.4%)	
High (tertiary/above)	51 (19.2%)	20 (13.8%)	31(25.6%)	
Marital status				0.99
Married	189 (71.1%)	103 (71.0%)	86 (71.1%)	
Other	77 (28.9%)	42 (29.0%)	35 (28.9%)	
Housing type				0.13
Private residence	31 (11.7%)	13(9.0%)	18(14.9%)	
Public residence	235 (88.3%)	132 (91.0%)	103(85.1%)	
CCI, mean (SD)	5.08 (1.67)	5.19(1.48)	4.95 (1.87)	0.24
Albumin (g/l), mean (SD)	30.3 (5.6)	29.9 (5.2)	30.8 (6.0)	0.20
Haemoglobin (g/dl), mean (SD)	10.9(1.69)	10.9(1.67)	11.0(1.71)	0.78
Dependency status				0.001
Self-cared	164 (61.6%)	102 (70.3%)	62(51.2%)	
Assisted	102 (38.4%)	43 (29.7%)	59 (48.8%)	
Dialysis vintage (Year)	3.55 (3.28)	4.50 (3.68)	2.42 (2.26)	< 0.001
Dialysis adequacy				
Kt/V (per week)	2.33(0.88)	2.28(0.72)	2.40(1.04)	0.26

CONCLUSIONS

APD patients experience better HRQOL in some domains than CAPD patients in Singapore, although generic utility measures are not sensitive to this treatment advantage. Important clinical and socio-demographics determinants of the HRQOL in PD patients in Singapore as demonstrated in this study can serve to guide selection and management of the local PD patients.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was funded by the NUHS
Cross Department Collaborative Grant,
National Kidney Foundation Research
Fund and Ministry of Education-NUS
Academic Research Fund. All are
gratefully acknowledged. The authors
wish to thank School of Health and
Related Research, University of
Sheffield, United Kingdom, for providing
the scoring algorithm for the SF-6D.

With adjustments, significant differences were observed in KDQOL symptoms (difference: 7.0, p<0.01) and PCS (difference: 2.8, p<0.05) between CAPD and APD patients, suggesting that APD was associated with milder dialysis-related symptoms and better physical health.

Except for the modality, the following factors were shown to be significantly associated with HRQOL: young age (<45 years), Chinese ethnicity, high albumin level (≥37g/l), and self-cared dialysis with better physical health; high albumin level with better mental health; Chinese ethnicity with less symptoms, and Chinese, higher albumin and self-cared dialysis with less dialysis-related effects. Factors significantly correlated with higher SF-6D included high albumin level, whereas those of higher EQ-5D included young age, Chinese ethnicity, high albumin, self-cared dialysis.

Independent variable (reference group)	Dependent variable									
	PCS	MCS	Symptoms	Effects	Burden	SF-6D	EQ-5D			
Age group (young [<45 years])										
Middle-aged [45-60 years]	-5.49**	0.36	-7.00	-3.36	0.85	-0.036	-0.094*			
Old [>60 years]	-2.71	2.84	1.32	7.46	6.15	-0.008	-0.019			
Gender (male)										
Female	0.59	-1.03	0.28	3.32	2.13	-0.008	-0.004			
Ethnicity (Chinese)										
Malay	-1.45	2.21	3.58	1.65	4.44	-0.002	-0.005			
Indian or other	-4.74*	-2.05	-9.39*	-15.4**	-6.73	-0.031	-0.105*			
Educational level (low [no/primary/secondary])										
High [tertiary/above]	-1.05	2.38	-2.16	-1.46	2.49	0.011	0.009			
Marital status (other [single/widowed/separated/divorced])										
Married	1.16	-1.70	-1.64	-4.15	-3.73	-0.005	0.0005			
Housing type (public residence)										
Private residence	1.02	0.37	1.17	-1.86	9.86	0.023	0.013			
Co-morbidity (low CCI [<5])										
High CCI [≥5]	-0.88	-1.24	-2.02	-4.25	-10.2	-0.015	-0.027			
Albumin level (low [<37g/l])										
High [≥37g/l]	5.69**	4.82*	7.27	10.4*	0.69	0.089**	0.144**			
Haemoglobin (low [<11g/dl])										
High [≥11g/dl]	1.84	0.80	2.90	2.72	3.61	0.010	0.042			
Modality (CAPD [continuous ambulatory PD])										
APD [automated PD]	2.84*	-0.52	7.02**	4.94	-3.68	0.012	0.040			
Dependency status (assisted)										
Self-cared	5.29***	0.02	5.18	6.91*	-1.59	0.032	0.090**			
Dialysis vintage (short [<3.5years])										
Long [≥3.5years]	0.15	0.59	-1.17	0.95	-1.13	0.006	0.014			
Dialysis adequacy (low Kt/V [<2.0/week])										
High Kt/V [≥2.0/week])	-1.58	-2.69	-0.68	-2.30	-1.54	-0.036*	-0.063*			
*p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001										

Table 2.
Regression
coefficients in
multiple linear
regression
analysis of
HRQOL scores







