

# BETA-2-MICROGLOBULIN LEVELS IN PATIENTS TREATED WITH ON-LINE POSTDILUTION HAEMODIAFILTRATION

HELMUT SCHIFFL

DEPARTMENT OF INTERNAL MEDICINE, UNIVERSITY OF MUNICH



## Objectives:

High volume on-line postdilution haemodiafiltration (HHDF, substitution volume > 22 l/session) may help to reduce all-cause mortality in ESRD patients. The mechanisms of this survival benefit are far from clear. Circulating beta-2 microglobulin concentrations are a surrogate for middle molecular weight proteins assumed to play a role in cardiovascular mortality. Our comparative study aimed to assess the impact of different substitution volumes on pre-dialysis beta-2-microglobulin levels and mortality rates in incident HDF patients.

## Methods:

The multicentre, prospective cohort study included 179 incident HDF patients. The planned follow-up was 3 years. The performance of HDF depended on center policy, all patients received routine care. Two prospectively defined subgroups of HDF patients were compared: HHDF (86 patients, substitution volume > 24l/session) and Non-HHDF (93 patients, substitution volume < 20l/session). Primary outcomes were all-cause mortality (intention to treat analysis) and associated circulating beta-2-microglobulin levels.

**Table 1 Demographic characteristics at baseline in subgroups of HDF patients ( Mean ± SD)**

	HHDF	Non-HHDF
<b>Number of patients</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>93</b>
<b>Age (years)</b>	<b>65 ± 8</b>	<b>63 ± 9</b>
<b>Charlson comorbidity Index</b>	<b>6 ± 1</b>	<b>6 ± 1</b>
<b>RRF (ml/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>)</b>	<b>8 ± 1</b>	<b>9 ± 1</b>

RRF = residual renal function; HHDF = High Volume Haemodiafiltration

**Table 2 Treatment parameters during follow-up (Mean ± SD)**

	HHDF	Non-HHDF
<b>Treatment time (min/session)</b>	<b>252 ± 8</b>	<b>285 ± 12*</b>
<b>QB (ml/min)</b>	<b>361 ± 24</b>	<b>352 ± 35</b>
<b>QD (ml/min)</b>	<b>560 ± 35</b>	<b>572 ± 45</b>
<b>Convection volume (l/session)</b>	<b>26.2 ± 2.4</b>	<b>18.4 ± 3.1*</b>

QB = blood flow rate, QD = dialysate flow rate; \* P < 0.05

## Results:

The two subgroups of HDF patients differed significantly in convection volume and treatment times, but had similar demographic (age, gender, body weight, Charlson-Comorbidity Index, baseline pretreatment beta-2-microglobulin), renal (cause of ESRD, residual renal function) and other treatment parameters (AV-fistula, blood flow rates, dialysate flow rates, Tables 1+2). There were significant differences among the subgroups in all cause mortality rate (predominantly in deaths due to cardiovascular catastrophes and infection) and in the accumulation of beta-2 microglobulin (Table 3)

**Table 3: Outcome of the subgroups of HDF patients (Mean± SD, %)**

	HHDF	Non-HHDF
<b>Number of patients</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>β-2-Microglobulin (mg/l)</b>	<b>20 ± 3*</b>	<b>25 ± 4</b>
<b>RRF (ml/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>)</b>	<b>5 ± 1</b>	<b>5 ± 1</b>
<b>Mortality rate (%)</b>	<b>21*</b>	<b>34</b>

RRF = residual renal function; \* P < 0.05

## Conclusions:

Within the limitations of non-randomized investigations, the present study demonstrates the survival benefit of high efficiency HDF may be related - at least in part - to the enhanced removal of middle-sized uremic toxins by high convection volumes. Pre-treatment beta-2 microglobulin levels less than 20 mg/l may be considered as a new determinant of HDF adequacy.

